

Table A. Characteristics of Respondents that completed the survey

Characteristic Category	Weighted		Un-weighted	
	Number	%	Number	%
<i>Age</i>				
18 years old	4,111	8.8	700	8.4
19 years old	8,605	18.3	1,421	17.0
20 years old	9,232	19.7	1,441	17.3
21 years old	7,350	15.7	1,375	16.5
22 years old	5,415	11.5	937	11.2
23 years old	2,371	5.1	414	5.0
24 years old	1,457	3.1	290	3.5
25 years or older	8,354	17.8	1,774	21.2
<i>Student Affiliation</i>				
Undergraduate	36,642	78.1	6,249	74.8
Graduate or Professional	10,254	21.9	2,103	25.2
<i>Year in school/program</i>				
Freshman	7,140	15.2	1,183	14.2
Sophomore	8,385	17.9	1,344	16.1
Junior	9,624	20.5	1,724	20.6
Senior	11,493	24.5	1,998	23.9
Graduate or Prof 1st year	3,241	6.9	681	8.2
Graduate or Prof 2nd year	2,733	5.8	591	7.1
Graduate or Prof 3rd year	1,647	3.5	324	3.9
Graduate or Prof 4th year or higher	2,633	5.6	507	6.1
<i>Year first enrolled in the college or university</i>				
2010 or earlier	5,163	11.0	1,041	12.5
2011	7,461	15.9	1,333	16.0
2012	9,395	20.0	1,662	19.9
2013	11,315	24.1	1,939	23.2
2014 or 2015	13,562	28.9	2,377	28.5
<i>Hispanic or Latino?</i>				
Yes	2,113	4.5	369	4.4
No	44,783	95.5	7,983	95.6

Table A. Characteristics of Respondents that completed the survey (continued)

<i>Characteristic Category</i>	<i>Weighted</i>		<i>Un-weighted</i>	
	<i>Number</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Number</i>	<i>%</i>
<i>Race</i>				
White only	33,798	72.1	6,646	79.6
Black only	3,490	7.4	408	4.9
Asian only	7,338	15.6	950	11.4
Other/Multi race	2,270	4.8	348	4.2
<i>Gender Identity</i>				
Female	23,924	51.0	5,273	63.1
Male	22,582	48.2	3,003	36.0
Other	390	0.8	76	0.9
<i>Sexual Orientation</i>				
Heterosexual	41,776	90.8	7,427	90.5
Non-Heterosexual	4,210	9.2	777	9.5
<i>Since enrolled in college or university, have you been in a partnered relationship?</i>				
Yes	34,968	74.6	6,467	77.4
No	11,877	25.3	1,877	22.5
Did not answer the question	51	0.1	8	0.1
<i>Have a disability registered with the university?</i>				
Yes	1,544	3.3	305	3.7
No	45,287	96.6	8,035	96.2
Did not answer the question	65	0.1	12	0.1

Table 1.1. Perceptions of Responses to Reporting Sexual Assault or Sexual Misconduct to an Official by Gender and Enrollment Status^{1,2}

Survey Item Response	Total		Female (n=5,273)				Male (n=3,003)				
			Undergraduate (n=4,001)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,272)		Undergraduate (n=2,189)		Graduate or Professional (n=814)		
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	
<i>If someone were to report sexual assault or sexual misconduct to an official, how likely is it that...</i>											
<i>Students would support the person making the report.</i>											
Not at all	2.6	0.2	2.8	0.2	2.2	0.4	2.8	0.4	1.9	0.4	
A little	11.7	0.3	14.0	0.5	14.0	1.0	9.3	0.6	8.3	1.0	
Somewhat	35.5	0.5	37.1	0.6	41.5	1.2	31.4	0.9	37.3	1.7	
Very	39.5	0.5	36.3	0.6	35.6	1.3	43.8	1.0	40.7	1.5	
Extremely	10.8	0.4	9.9	0.4	6.7	0.7	12.7	0.8	11.8	1.2	
<i>The alleged offender(s) or their associates would retaliate against the person making the report.</i>											
Not at all	7.7	0.3	6.5	0.3	6.3	0.7	9.1	0.6	9.0	1.0	
A little	26.5	0.5	23.1	0.6	23.6	1.1	30.4	0.9	29.6	1.5	
Somewhat	42.5	0.6	42.0	0.7	45.6	1.2	41.9	1.0	44.9	1.8	
Very	19.2	0.4	23.3	0.6	21.3	0.9	15.4	0.7	13.7	1.2	
Extremely	4.0	0.2	5.1	0.3	3.2	0.5	3.3	0.4	2.8	0.5	
<i>Campus officials would take the report seriously.</i>											
Not at all	4.5	0.2	5.7	0.3	4.2	0.5	3.6	0.4	2.6	0.5	
A little	11.0	0.3	13.7	0.5	11.0	0.8	9.0	0.6	6.7	0.8	
Somewhat	24.9	0.5	28.9	0.7	28.9	1.2	21.5	0.9	18.1	1.4	
Very	34.6	0.5	32.2	0.8	41.1	1.4	33.2	1.0	42.5	1.7	
Extremely	25.0	0.4	19.5	0.5	14.9	1.1	32.7	0.9	30.1	1.6	

Table 1.1. Perceptions of Responses to Reporting Sexual Assault or Sexual Misconduct to an Official by Gender and Enrollment Status^{1,2} (continued)

Survey Item Response	Total		Female (n=5,273)				Male (n=3,003)			
			Undergraduate (n=4,001)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,272)		Undergraduate (n=2,189)		Graduate or Professional (n=814)	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
<i>Campus officials would protect the safety of the person making the report.</i>										
Not at all	4.5	0.2	5.2	0.4	5.2	0.6	3.7	0.4	2.8	0.5
A little	12.2	0.3	14.5	0.5	15.1	0.9	10.0	0.6	8.6	0.9
Somewhat	27.9	0.4	30.8	0.6	31.1	1.5	24.8	0.9	24.5	1.5
Very	33.1	0.5	31.2	0.7	35.5	1.3	32.8	1.0	39.1	1.8
Extremely	22.3	0.5	18.3	0.5	13.1	1.1	28.7	1.0	25.1	1.7
<i>Campus officials would conduct a fair investigation.</i>										
Not at all	6.0	0.2	6.7	0.4	5.1	0.6	5.9	0.5	3.9	0.7
A little	12.5	0.4	15.4	0.5	12.5	0.8	10.2	0.7	8.4	1.0
Somewhat	32.3	0.5	34.5	0.7	34.3	1.2	30.8	1.0	27.8	1.5
Very	33.8	0.5	31.1	0.7	37.4	1.4	34.0	1.0	40.4	1.7
Extremely	15.4	0.4	12.3	0.5	10.7	0.9	19.1	0.8	19.5	1.4
<i>Campus officials would take action against the offender(s).</i>										
Not at all	6.2	0.3	8.5	0.4	6.8	0.7	3.9	0.5	3.6	0.5
A little	15.0	0.3	18.6	0.6	18.6	0.9	11.4	0.6	9.7	1.0
Somewhat	34.1	0.5	36.1	0.8	39.8	1.2	31.4	0.9	31.1	1.4
Very	29.7	0.5	25.2	0.8	27.8	1.3	32.7	1.0	38.1	1.5
Extremely	15.1	0.4	11.6	0.5	7.0	0.9	20.6	0.9	17.5	1.2
<i>Campus officials would take action to address factors that may have led to the sexual assault or sexual misconduct.</i>										
Not at all	8.3	0.3	9.5	0.4	10.4	1.0	6.5	0.6	6.6	0.7
A little	16.7	0.5	18.4	0.6	19.7	1.0	14.5	0.7	14.7	1.3
Somewhat	34.6	0.5	35.6	0.7	37.3	1.5	33.7	0.8	31.9	1.6
Very	28.6	0.5	26.6	0.6	26.8	1.3	30.5	0.9	32.2	1.6
Extremely	11.8	0.4	9.9	0.4	5.9	0.8	14.8	0.8	14.6	1.2

Table 1.1. Perceptions of Responses to Reporting Sexual Assault or Sexual Misconduct to an Official by Gender and Enrollment Status^{1,2} (continued)

Survey Item Response	TGQN (n=76)			
	Undergraduate (n=59)		Graduate or Professional (n=17)	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
<i>If someone were to report sexual assault or sexual misconduct to an official, how likely is it that...</i>				
<i>Students would support the person making the report.</i>				
Not at all	5.2	2.8	s	s
A little	27.2	5.6	s	s
Somewhat	36.3	6.8	43.1	12.5
Very	26.6	5.0	39.5	12.8
Extremely	4.7	2.4	s	s
<i>The alleged offender(s) or their associates would retaliate against the person making the report.</i>				
Not at all	s	s	s	s
A little	13.8	4.3	16.8	8.6
Somewhat	33.7	5.8	14.6	7.6
Very	36.8	6.2	53.0	11.6
Extremely	13.6	4.1	s	s
<i>Campus officials would take the report seriously.</i>				
Not at all	12.4	4.6	14.4	8.0
A little	26.8	6.3	19.7	9.2
Somewhat	35.6	6.6	s	s
Very	17.3	5.2	54.6	12.8
Extremely	7.9	3.2	s	s

Table 1.1. Perceptions of Responses to Reporting Sexual Assault or Sexual Misconduct to an Official by Gender and Enrollment Status^{1,2} (continued)

Survey Item Response	TGQN (n=76)			
	Undergraduate (n=59)		Graduate or Professional (n=17)	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
<i>Campus official would protect the safety of the person making the report.</i>				
Not at all	19.7	5.7	12.1	6.3
A little	16.9	4.6	19.9	8.7
Somewhat	30.7	5.7	30.7	10.5
Very	18.5	4.8	31.9	13.5
Extremely	14.2	4.8	s	s
<i>Campus officials would conduct a fair investigation.</i>				
Not at all	15.4	5.2	14.6	8.1
A little	32.8	7.9	s	s
Somewhat	22.1	5.6	54.9	13.3
Very	25.4	5.8	17.2	8.8
Extremely	s	s	s	s
<i>Campus officials would take action against the offender(s).</i>				
Not at all	28.7	6.5	14.4	8.0
A little	26.3	5.6	12.3	6.7
Somewhat	25.4	6.6	37.9	13.3
Very	14.4	4.6	30.1	10.3
Extremely	s	s	s	s
<i>Campus officials would take action to address factors that may have led to the sexual assault or sexual misconduct.</i>				
Not at all	29.2	6.6	26.7	10.1
A little	28.0	4.5	16.1	6.8
Somewhat	27.8	5.7	46.1	13.1
Very	13.7	4.6	s	s
Extremely	s	s	s	s

¹Per 100 students.

²TGQN = Transgender woman, Transgender man, Genderqueer, gender non-conforming, questioning, not listed.

Table 1.2. Bystander Intervention Upon Witnessing Sexual Assault or Sexual Misconduct by Gender and Enrollment Status^{1,2}

Survey Item Response	Total		Female (n=5,273)				Male (n=3,003)			
			Undergraduate (n=4,001)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,272)		Undergraduate (n=2,189)		Graduate or Professional (n=814)	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
<i>Have you been in any of the following situations? If so, what did you do?</i>										
<i>Suspected a friend was sexually assaulted</i>										
Yes	19.3	0.3	27.2	0.6	13.8	0.9	15.2	0.5	8.4	1.1
Did nothing because I wasn't sure what to do	12.4	1.0	11.3	0.9	12.5	2.5	14.1	2.0	14.0	3.0
Did nothing for another reason	18.9	1.0	17.9	1.0	15.1	2.8	19.7	2.3	33.6	5.2
Spoke to my friend or someone else to seek help	56.7	1.4	61.7	1.5	61.1	3.2	48.9	2.6	34.5	4.5
Took action in another way	12.1	0.7	9.0	0.8	11.3	2.4	17.2	1.8	18.0	5.1
No	80.7	0.3	72.8	0.6	86.2	0.9	84.8	0.5	91.6	1.1
<i>Witnessed drunk person heading for sexual encounter</i>										
Yes	45.5	0.5	49.5	0.7	31.9	1.1	51.1	1.0	25.5	1.4
Did nothing because I wasn't sure what to do	23.0	0.7	26.6	0.9	26.9	2.1	19.1	1.3	17.5	2.5
Did nothing for another reason	52.3	0.7	42.5	1.1	53.7	2.3	61.0	1.4	63.9	4.3
Directly intervened to stop it	9.6	0.4	13.2	0.6	9.1	1.5	6.5	0.6	6.2	1.5
Spoke to someone else to seek help	6.8	0.4	9.6	0.7	4.4	1.0	5.2	0.6	2.1	1.0
Took action in another way	8.3	0.5	8.1	0.6	6.0	0.9	8.1	0.7	10.3	2.1
No	54.5	0.5	50.5	0.7	68.1	1.1	48.9	1.0	74.5	1.4

Table 1.2. Bystander Intervention Upon Witnessing Sexual Assault or Sexual Misconduct by Gender and Enrollment Status^{1,2}
(continued)

Survey Item Response	Total		Female (n=5,273)				Male (n=3,003)			
			Undergraduate (n=4,001)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,272)		Undergraduate (n=2,189)		Graduate or Professional (n=814)	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
<i>Witnessed someone acting in sexually violent or harassing manner</i>										
Yes	21.2	0.4	25.4	0.7	18.9	1.0	19.7	0.8	11.4	1.3
Did nothing because I wasn't sure what to do	23.1	1.0	26.4	1.2	26.7	2.8	18.7	1.9	16.0	3.8
Did nothing for another reason	29.5	1.1	25.7	1.2	32.5	2.9	33.7	2.1	32.6	5.2
Directly intervened to stop it	21.9	1.0	22.1	1.1	12.8	2.1	23.6	1.9	21.1	3.8
Spoke to someone else to seek help	13.2	0.9	14.7	1.0	15.6	2.3	11.1	1.5	12.9	4.3
Took action in another way	12.3	0.8	11.2	0.9	12.4	1.6	12.9	1.6	17.5	3.7
No	78.8	0.4	74.6	0.7	81.1	1.0	80.3	0.8	88.6	1.3

Table 1.2. Bystander Intervention Upon Witnessing Sexual Assault or Sexual Misconduct by Gender and Enrollment Status^{1,2}
(continued)

Survey Item Response	TGQN (n=76)			
	Undergraduate (n=59)		Graduate or Professional (n=17)	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
<i>Have you been in any of the following situations? If so, what did you do?</i>				
<i>Suspected a friend was sexually assaulted</i>				
Yes	48.7	6.6	32.6	12.7
Did nothing because I wasn't sure what to do	s	s	s	s
Did nothing for another reason	13.1	5.6	-	-
Spoke to my friend or someone else to seek help	60.9	9.5	78.3	21.1
Took action in another way	13.8	7.1	s	s
No	51.3	6.6	67.4	12.7
<i>Witnessed drunk person heading for sexual encounter</i>				
Yes	42.0	6.8	44.3	13.9
Did nothing because I wasn't sure what to do	27.4	9.2	66.8	31.4
Did nothing for another reason	35.7	9.4	-	-
Directly intervened to stop it	s	s	-	-
Spoke to someone else to seek help	-	-	-	-
Took action in another way	28.2	8.6	s	s
No	58.0	6.8	55.7	13.9

**Table 1.2. Bystander Intervention Upon Witnessing Sexual Assault or Sexual Misconduct by Gender and Enrollment Status^{1,2}
(continued)**

Survey Item Response	TGQN (n=76)			
	Undergraduate (n=59)		Graduate or Professional (n=17)	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
<i>Witnessed someone acting in sexually violent or harassing manner</i>				
Yes	52.4	8.1	32.7	10.6
Did nothing because I wasn't sure what to do	25.7	8.5	s	s
Did nothing for another reason	26.9	8.6	s	s
Directly intervened to stop it	28.8	8.7	56.2	18.5
Spoke to someone else to seek help	s	s	-	-
Took action in another way	17.0	7.0	-	-
No	47.6	8.1	67.3	10.6

¹Per 100 students.

²TGQN = Transgender woman, Transgender man, Genderqueer, gender non-conforming, questioning, not listed.

Table 1.3. Perceptions Related to Sexual Assault or Sexual Misconduct by Gender and Enrollment Status^{1, 2}

Survey Item Response	Total		Female (n=5,273)				Male (n=3,003)			
			Undergraduate (n=4,001)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,272)		Undergraduate (n=2,189)		Graduate or Professional (n=814)	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
<i>Sexual assault or sexual misconduct a problem at university</i>										
Not at all	11.4	0.4	6.7	0.4	14.7	1.0	12.3	0.7	23.2	1.3
A little	26.3	0.5	21.8	0.6	26.6	1.2	30.0	0.9	30.5	1.5
Somewhat	40.3	0.5	43.4	0.7	39.0	1.3	39.8	0.9	32.8	1.5
Very	16.3	0.3	21.2	0.6	14.8	0.8	13.5	0.6	8.3	0.9
Extremely	5.7	0.2	6.9	0.4	4.9	0.5	4.4	0.6	5.1	0.6
<i>Likelihood of experiencing sexual assault or sexual misconduct on campus</i>										
Not at all	46.7	0.5	25.4	0.7	37.5	1.2	64.9	1.0	74.9	1.5
A little	30.8	0.5	36.8	0.8	39.9	1.3	24.9	0.9	19.8	1.5
Somewhat	15.6	0.3	25.3	0.6	17.1	1.0	7.8	0.5	4.0	0.6
Very	5.2	0.2	9.2	0.4	4.1	0.6	2.3	0.3	0.4	0.2
Extremely	1.8	0.1	3.4	0.3	1.3	0.3	0.2	0.1	0.9	0.4
<i>Likelihood of experiencing sexual assault or sexual misconduct off campus at university-sponsored events</i>										
Not at all	43.1	0.4	23.6	0.5	34.1	1.2	60.3	0.9	68.2	1.8
A little	32.7	0.5	37.9	0.7	39.8	1.1	27.4	0.8	24.6	1.8
Somewhat	17.5	0.3	26.8	0.6	20.2	1.2	9.6	0.5	5.5	0.7
Very	5.4	0.2	9.7	0.4	4.6	0.6	2.1	0.3	0.9	0.3
Extremely	1.3	0.1	2.0	0.2	1.4	0.3	0.6	0.2	0.9	0.4

Table 1.3. Perceptions Related to Sexual Assault or Sexual Misconduct by Gender and Enrollment Status^{1, 2} (continued)

Survey Item Response	TGQN (n=76)			
	Undergraduate (n=59)		Graduate or Professional (n=17)	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
<i>Sexual assault or sexual misconduct a problem at university</i>				
Not at all	7.2	3.3	15.6	8.3
A little	14.1	4.3	22.7	9.4
Somewhat	33.9	7.0	35.0	13.4
Very	26.2	6.0	12.3	6.7
Extremely	18.5	4.6	14.4	8.0
<i>Likelihood of experiencing sexual assault or sexual misconduct on campus</i>				
Not at all	10.1	3.8	26.7	9.8
A little	37.3	4.9	24.3	9.6
Somewhat	27.1	5.8	38.6	12.8
Very	16.1	5.3	s	s
Extremely	9.3	3.7	s	s
<i>Likelihood of experiencing sexual assault or sexual misconduct off campus at university-sponsored events</i>				
Not at all	11.3	4.0	16.2	8.3
A little	35.1	5.9	30.5	10.6
Somewhat	32.0	6.7	40.0	14.1
Very	12.4	4.8	s	s
Extremely	9.1	3.7	-	-

¹Per 100 students.

²TGQN = Transgender woman, Transgender man, Genderqueer, gender non-conforming, questioning, not listed.

Table 2.1. Knowledge and Perceptions About Resources Related to Sexual Assault or Sexual Misconduct by Gender and Enrollment Status¹

Survey Item Response	Total		Female (n=5,273)				Male (n=3,003)			
			Undergraduate (n=4,001)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,272)		Undergraduate (n=2,189)		Graduate or Professional (n=814)	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
<i>Awareness of services</i>										
Aware of services provided by MSU Police	88.4	0.3	89.4	0.5	82.1	0.9	89.9	0.6	86.2	1.3
Aware of services provided by Office for Inclusion (Title IX Coordinator's office)	28.4	0.5	26.7	0.7	30.4	1.2	27.4	0.8	36.3	1.4
Aware of services provided by East Lansing or Other Community Police Agency	45.3	0.6	45.5	0.9	33.9	1.3	51.7	1.0	34.8	1.7
Aware of services provided by Olin Student Health Services	79.8	0.5	87.4	0.5	71.2	1.2	78.9	0.7	63.5	1.6
Aware of services provided by MSU Counseling Center	71.9	0.4	80.1	0.6	64.6	1.3	70.2	0.9	53.9	1.5
Aware of services provided by SAFE Place	46.9	0.4	59.9	0.7	27.7	1.0	46.8	0.9	18.2	1.1
Aware of services provided by MSU Sexual Assault Program	55.2	0.4	64.4	0.7	35.8	1.1	58.2	1.0	30.3	1.3
Aware of services provided by 24/7 MSU Hotline	45.8	0.5	51.1	0.7	26.1	1.2	51.2	1.1	28.0	1.4
Aware of services provided by Residence Education and Housing Services	41.0	0.5	49.9	0.8	18.2	0.8	45.2	1.1	17.0	1.2
Aware of services provided by MSU Women's Resource Center	28.1	0.4	39.1	0.6	31.6	1.0	19.2	0.8	13.8	1.0
Aware of services provided by Sexual Assault and Relationship Violence (SARV) Prevention Program	47.0	0.5	60.3	0.8	18.0	0.9	50.4	1.0	13.6	1.1
Aware of services provided by Listening Ear	19.0	0.4	22.9	0.6	23.0	1.0	15.4	0.7	12.1	1.1
Aware of services provided by End Violent Encounters (EVE)	8.6	0.3	9.7	0.5	10.3	0.7	7.9	0.6	4.8	0.7
Aware of services provided by Sparrow Hospital Sexual Assault Nurse Examiner (SANE) Program	23.2	0.4	28.2	0.7	16.9	0.9	22.8	0.7	12.0	1.1

Table 2.1. Knowledge and Perceptions About Resources Related to Sexual Assault or Sexual Misconduct by Gender and Enrollment Status¹ (continued)

Survey Item Response	Total		Female (n=5,273)				Male (n=3,003)			
			Undergraduate (n=4,001)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,272)		Undergraduate (n=2,189)		Graduate or Professional (n=814)	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
<i>Knowledgeable about how sexual assault and sexual misconduct defined at university</i>										
Not at all	10.2	0.3	8.7	0.4	20.1	1.0	7.6	0.5	14.9	1.0
A little bit	21.9	0.4	23.9	0.5	28.6	1.1	17.7	0.8	22.9	1.4
Somewhat	33.5	0.5	33.2	0.8	26.9	1.1	35.5	0.9	34.7	1.5
Very	26.1	0.5	25.4	0.6	18.7	0.9	29.7	0.9	22.9	1.5
Extremely	8.3	0.2	8.9	0.4	5.8	0.7	9.5	0.6	4.7	0.7
<i>Knowledgeable about where to get help at university if student or friend experience sexual assault or sexual misconduct</i>										
Not at all	8.3	0.3	6.3	0.3	14.7	1.0	7.4	0.6	11.6	1.0
A little bit	21.3	0.4	21.4	0.5	24.6	1.3	19.3	1.0	24.6	1.6
Somewhat	34.8	0.5	33.9	0.7	32.4	1.5	36.4	1.1	34.6	1.4
Very	26.1	0.4	27.0	0.6	21.1	1.2	27.3	0.8	23.7	1.4
Extremely	9.6	0.2	11.2	0.4	7.2	0.7	9.6	0.5	5.4	0.7
<i>Knowledgeable about where to make a report if student or friend experience sexual assault or sexual misconduct at university</i>										
Not at all	12.6	0.3	13.2	0.4	19.8	1.0	9.3	0.6	13.9	1.1
A little bit	23.0	0.5	24.8	0.6	22.7	1.1	21.6	0.9	21.5	1.6
Somewhat	31.5	0.5	30.8	0.7	29.6	1.1	33.1	1.1	30.9	1.6
Very	23.6	0.4	22.3	0.6	20.8	1.1	25.3	0.9	25.7	1.5
Extremely	9.4	0.3	8.9	0.3	7.0	0.6	10.8	0.7	8.0	0.7

Table 2.1. Knowledge and Perceptions About Resources Related to Sexual Assault or Sexual Misconduct by Gender and Enrollment Status¹ (continued)

Survey Item Response	Total		Female (n=5,273)				Male (n=3,003)			
			Undergraduate (n=4,001)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,272)		Undergraduate (n=2,189)		Graduate or Professional (n=814)	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
<i>Knowledgeable about what happens when a student reports sexual assault or sexual misconduct at university</i>										
Not at all	28.9	0.5	29.2	0.6	40.7	1.3	25.3	0.8	28.7	1.5
A little bit	26.4	0.4	26.9	0.7	23.2	1.2	27.0	0.7	26.3	1.5
Somewhat	28.0	0.5	28.4	0.7	21.3	1.1	30.2	1.0	25.8	1.4
Very	11.5	0.3	10.4	0.6	10.6	0.8	11.9	0.7	14.5	1.2
Extremely	5.1	0.2	5.1	0.3	4.1	0.5	5.6	0.5	4.7	0.7
<i>Initial university orientation included information about sexual assault or sexual misconduct</i>										
Yes	42.7	1.2	41.1	1.4	35.0	2.6	47.4	1.9	39.7	3.3
Not at all	6.6	0.7	4.1	0.9	6.5	2.3	9.4	1.6	5.7	2.1
A little	19.8	1.3	17.7	1.6	25.2	3.3	22.2	2.6	15.6	4.2
Somewhat	40.5	1.5	41.3	2.0	41.6	4.4	39.5	2.7	36.6	4.9
Very	28.0	1.3	31.8	1.7	21.4	3.9	24.0	2.5	36.0	5.7
Extremely	5.1	0.7	5.1	0.9	5.3	2.6	4.9	1.2	6.2	2.9
No	15.3	0.7	16.9	1.2	21.5	2.0	11.7	1.1	16.3	2.7
I didn't attend orientation	6.6	0.4	2.7	0.4	24.8	2.2	2.5	0.6	22.8	2.4
I don't remember	35.4	0.9	39.2	1.6	18.7	1.9	38.4	1.5	21.1	2.7

¹ Per 100 students.

Table 3.1a. Percent of Undergraduate Female Students Experiencing Nonconsensual Penetration or Sexual Touching Involving Physical Force or Incapacitation by Tactic, Current Year vs. Since Entering College and Enrollment Status¹

Survey Item Response	Current School Year			Since Entering College		
	Number	%	StdErr	Number	%	StdErr
<i>Total Involving physical force or incapacitation</i>	2,777	14.6	0.5	4,722	24.8	0.7
Penetration	1,065	5.6	0.3	2,235	11.7	0.4
Physical force only	540	2.8	0.2	1,225	6.4	0.4
Completed	320	1.7	0.2	725	3.8	0.3
Attempted	272	1.4	0.2	786	4.1	0.3
Incapacitation only	509	2.7	0.2	944	5.0	0.3
Both physical force and incapacitation	156	0.8	0.1	471	2.5	0.2
Sexual Touching	2,196	11.5	0.4	3,594	18.9	0.6
Physical force only	1,580	8.3	0.4	2,595	13.6	0.6
Incapacitation only	726	3.8	0.3	1,298	6.8	0.4
Both physical force and incapacitation	188	1.0	0.1	294	1.5	0.2

¹Per 100 students.

Table 3.1b. Percent of Graduate Female Students Experiencing Nonconsensual Penetration or Sexual Touching Involving Physical Force or Incapacitation by Tactic, Current Year vs. Since Entering College and Enrollment Status¹

Survey Item Response	Current School Year			Since Entering College		
	Number	%	StdErr	Number	%	StdErr
<i>Total Involving physical force or incapacitation</i>	128	2.6	0.4	587	12.0	1.0
Penetration	55	1.1	0.3	297	6.1	0.6
Physical force only	25	0.5	0.2	156	3.2	0.5
Completed	20	0.4	0.2	98	2.0	0.3
Attempted	s	s	s	87	1.8	0.3
Incapacitation only	30	0.6	0.2	141	2.9	0.5
Both physical force and incapacitation	-	-	-	48	1.0	0.2
Sexual Touching	86	1.8	0.4	389	8.0	0.8
Physical force only	64	1.3	0.3	284	5.8	0.6
Incapacitation only	31	0.6	0.3	117	2.4	0.4
Both physical force and incapacitation	-	-	-	53	1.1	0.3

¹Per 100 students.

Table 3.1c. Percent of Undergraduate Male Students Experiencing Nonconsensual Penetration or Sexual Touching Involving Physical Force or Incapacitation by Tactic, Current Year vs. Since Entering College and Enrollment Status¹

Survey Item Response	Current School Year			Since Entering College		
	Number	%	StdErr	Number	%	StdErr
<i>Total Involving physical force or incapacitation</i>	638	3.7	0.4	985	5.7	0.5
Penetration	239	1.4	0.2	407	2.4	0.3
Physical force only	119	0.7	0.2	196	1.1	0.2
Completed	80	0.5	0.1	125	0.7	0.2
Attempted	55	0.3	0.1	105	0.6	0.1
Incapacitation only	110	0.6	0.1	205	1.2	0.2
Both physical force and incapacitation	s	s	s	24	0.1	0.1
Sexual Touching	449	2.6	0.3	722	4.2	0.4
Physical force only	275	1.6	0.3	455	2.6	0.3
Incapacitation only	190	1.1	0.2	293	1.7	0.3
Both physical force and incapacitation	s	s	s	44	0.3	0.1

¹Per 100 students.

Table 3.1d. Percent of Graduate Male Students Experiencing Nonconsensual Penetration or Sexual Touching Involving Physical Force or Incapacitation by Tactic, Current Year vs. Since Entering College and Enrollment Status¹

Survey Item Response	Current School Year			Since Entering College		
	Number	%	StdErr	Number	%	StdErr
<i>Total Involving physical force or incapacitation</i>	65	1.2	0.4	113	2.1	0.4
Penetration	28	0.5	0.3	58	1.1	0.4
Physical force only	s	s	s	33	0.6	0.3
Completed	-	-	-	s	s	s
Attempted	s	s	s	29	0.5	0.3
Incapacitation only	s	s	s	s	s	s
Both physical force and incapacitation	-	-	-	-	-	-
Sexual Touching	37	0.7	0.3	54	1.0	0.3
Physical force only	37	0.7	0.3	54	1.0	0.3
Incapacitation only	-	-	-	5	0.1	0.1
Both physical force and incapacitation	-	-	-	-	-	-

¹Per 100 students.

Table 3.1e. Percent of Undergraduate Students of Other Gender Experiencing Nonconsensual Penetration or Sexual Touching Involving Physical Force or Incapacitation by Tactic, Current Year vs. Since Entering College and Enrollment Status¹

Survey Item Response	Current School Year			Since Entering College		
	Number	%	StdErr	Number	%	StdErr
<i>Total Involving physical force or incapacitation</i>	45	14.5	4.4	65	20.7	4.4
Penetration	32	10.3	3.6	51	16.4	4.0
Physical force only	28	8.9	3.5	37	11.7	3.8
Completed	24	7.6	3.4	28	9.0	3.5
Attempted	s	s	s	21	6.7	3.0
Incapacitation only	s	s	s	19	6.1	2.5
Both physical force and incapacitation	-	-	-	-	-	-
Sexual Touching	18	5.7	2.6	27	8.6	2.9
Physical force only	18	5.7	2.6	27	8.6	2.9
Incapacitation only	-	-	-	-	-	-
Both physical force and incapacitation	-	-	-	-	-	-

¹Per 100 students.

Table 3.1f. Percent of Graduate Students of Other Gender Experiencing Nonconsensual Penetration or Sexual Touching Involving Physical Force or Incapacitation by Tactic, Current Year vs. Since Entering College and Enrollment Status¹

Survey Item Response	Current School Year			Since Entering College		
	Number	%	StdErr	Number	%	StdErr
<i>Total Involving physical force or incapacitation</i>	S	S	S	S	S	S
Penetration	S	S	S	S	S	S
Physical force only	S	S	S	S	S	S
Completed	-	-	-	-	-	-
Attempted	S	S	S	S	S	S
Incapacitation only	-	-	-	-	-	-
Both physical force and incapacitation	-	-	-	-	-	-
Sexual Touching	S	S	S	S	S	S
Physical force only	S	S	S	S	S	S
Incapacitation only	-	-	-	-	-	-
Both physical force and incapacitation	S	S	S	S	S	S

¹Per 100 students.

Table 3.2. Percent of Students Experiencing Nonconsensual Penetration or Sexual Touching Involving Physical Force or Incapacitation by Victim Characteristics, Gender and Enrollment Status^{1, 2, 3}

Survey Item Response	Total		Female (n=5,273)				Male (n=3,003)			
			Undergraduate (n=4,001)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,272)		Undergraduate (n=2,189)		Graduate or Professional (n=814)	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
<i>Sexual orientation</i>										
Heterosexual	12.9	0.3	23.7	0.6	11.1	1.1	5.0	0.5	1.6	0.4
Non-Heterosexual	23.4	1.6	34.7	2.8	22.2	4.5	14.1	2.9	8.0	3.2
<i>Ethnicity</i>										
Hispanic	14.4	1.8	24.1	3.0	11.6	3.8	9.8	3.0	s	s
Not Hispanic	13.8	0.3	24.8	0.7	12.0	1.0	5.5	0.6	2.2	0.5
<i>Race</i>										
American Indian or Alaska Native	17.9	2.9	25.8	4.4	s	s	15.4	6.5	-	-
Asian	7.7	0.7	12.9	1.6	7.2	1.4	7.1	1.5	s	s
Black or African American	13.2	1.4	21.8	2.4	5.9	2.4	4.8	1.8	s	s
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	17.3	5.0	22.8	8.6	s	s	s	s	-	-
White	15.3	0.4	27.1	0.7	14.8	1.4	5.3	0.6	2.6	0.6
<i>Disability</i>										
Yes	28.9	2.8	37.0	4.0	37.2	6.6	14.2	4.4	s	s
No	13.3	0.3	24.2	0.6	11.4	1.0	5.5	0.6	2.1	0.4
<i>Marital status</i>										
Never married	7.2	0.7	-	-	12.4	1.1	-	-	2.0	0.6
Not married but living with a partner	12.4	2.0	-	-	15.9	2.8	-	-	5.9	2.3
Married	3.8	0.7	-	-	8.2	1.7	-	-	s	s
Divorced or separated	7.9	3.3	-	-	14.8	5.7	-	-	-	-
Other	9.2	5.1	-	-	s	s	-	-	s	s

Table 3.2. Percent of Students Experiencing Nonconsensual Penetration or Sexual Touching Involving Physical Force or Incapacitation by Victim Characteristics, Gender and Enrollment Status^{1, 2, 3} (continued)

Survey Item Response	Total		Female (n=5,273)				Male (n=3,003)			
			Undergraduate (n=4,001)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,272)		Undergraduate (n=2,189)		Graduate or Professional (n=814)	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
<i>Year in School and Timing of Incidents</i>										
<i>Undergraduate</i>										
<i>Current year</i>										
Freshman	11.3	0.9	16.8	1.3	-	-	4.0	0.9	-	-
Sophomore	11.0	0.8	17.3	1.1	-	-	4.4	1.2	-	-
Junior	9.0	0.6	14.8	1.1	-	-	2.7	0.5	-	-
Senior	7.6	0.5	10.9	0.8	-	-	3.9	0.7	-	-
<i>Since entering college</i>										
Freshman	12.0	1.1	16.9	1.4	-	-	5.5	1.2	-	-
Sophomore	14.3	0.9	22.8	1.3	-	-	5.3	1.2	-	-
Junior	16.1	0.9	27.3	1.4	-	-	4.3	0.6	-	-
Senior	18.8	0.9	29.3	1.3	-	-	7.3	0.9	-	-

Table 3.2. Percent of Students Experiencing Nonconsensual Penetration or Sexual Touching Involving Physical Force or Incapacitation by Victim Characteristics, Gender and Enrollment Status^{1, 2, 3} (continued)

Survey Item Response	Total		Female (n=5,273)				Male (n=3,003)			
			Undergraduate (n=4,001)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,272)		Undergraduate (n=2,189)		Graduate or Professional (n=814)	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
<i>Graduate/Professional</i>										
<i>Current year</i>										
1st year	2.3	0.6	-	-	3.3	0.8	-	-	s	s
2nd year	2.7	0.6	-	-	3.4	0.9	-	-	1.8	0.7
3rd year	0.7	0.3	-	-	1.3	0.7	-	-	-	-
4th year	1.8	0.8	-	-	1.8	0.9	-	-	s	s
5th year	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
6th year or higher	3.1	1.7	-	-	s	s	-	-	s	s
<i>Since entering college</i>										
1st year	6.0	1.0	-	-	10.2	1.6	-	-	1.7	0.9
2nd year	7.0	0.9	-	-	12.2	1.5	-	-	2.2	0.8
3rd year	5.2	1.3	-	-	9.9	2.2	-	-	s	s
4th year	7.8	1.8	-	-	15.3	3.7	-	-	2.6	1.4
5th year	9.2	2.1	-	-	16.8	4.1	-	-	s	s
6th year or higher	10.6	2.6	-	-	17.0	4.2	-	-	s	s

¹ Since enrolled in the college. Per 100 students.

² Includes contact involving: 1) penetration by physical force or threat of physical force, 2) attempted, but not completed, penetration by physical force or threat of physical force; 3) penetration by incapacitation, 4) sexual touching by physical force or threat of physical force, 5) sexual touching by incapacitation.

³ Unless otherwise specified, estimates are for victimizations reported since entering college.

Table 3.3. Percent of Female Students Experiencing Nonconsensual Sexual Contact Involving Physical Force or Incapacitation by Type of Behavior, Victim Characteristics and Enrollment Status^{1, 2, 3} (continued)

Survey Item Response	Total		Rape				Sexual Battery			
			Undergraduate		Graduate or Professional		Undergraduate		Graduate or Professional	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
<i>Year in School and Timing of Incidents</i>										
<i>Undergraduate</i>										
<i>Current year</i>										
Freshman	16.8	1.3	6.0	0.9	-	-	14.3	1.1	-	-
Sophomore	17.3	1.1	7.0	0.7	-	-	13.5	1.2	-	-
Junior	14.8	1.1	6.2	0.7	-	-	10.9	0.9	-	-
Senior	10.9	0.8	3.8	0.5	-	-	8.8	0.6	-	-
<i>Since entering college</i>										
Freshman	16.9	1.4	6.0	0.9	-	-	14.5	1.1	-	-
Sophomore	22.8	1.3	10.6	0.9	-	-	17.3	1.3	-	-
Junior	27.3	1.4	13.8	1.0	-	-	20.0	1.1	-	-
Senior	29.3	1.3	14.5	1.0	-	-	21.9	1.1	-	-
<i>Graduate/Professional</i>										
<i>Current year</i>										
1st year	3.3	0.8	-	-	1.2	0.6	-	-	2.3	0.7
2nd year	3.4	0.9	-	-	1.4	0.6	-	-	2.0	0.7
3rd year	1.3	0.7	-	-	s	s	-	-	s	s
4th year	1.8	0.9	-	-	s	s	-	-	s	s
5th year	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
6th year	s	s	-	-	s	s	-	-	s	s

Table 3.3. Percent of Female Students Experiencing Nonconsensual Sexual Contact Involving Physical Force or Incapacitation by Type of Behavior, Victim Characteristics and Enrollment Status^{1, 2, 3} (continued)

Survey Item Response	Total		Rape				Sexual Battery			
			Undergraduate		Graduate or Professional		Undergraduate		Graduate or Professional	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
<i>Since entering college</i>										
1st year	10.2	1.6	-	-	4.8	1.0	-	-	7.6	1.2
2nd year	12.2	1.5	-	-	6.4	1.2	-	-	7.5	1.2
3rd year	9.9	2.2	-	-	3.5	1.2	-	-	7.7	2.0
4th year	15.3	3.7	-	-	9.0	3.0	-	-	7.5	2.4
5th year	16.8	4.1	-	-	10.6	3.4	-	-	9.7	2.7
6th year	17.0	4.2	-	-	9.2	3.7	-	-	11.6	3.1

¹Since enrolled in the college. Per 100 students.

²Includes contact involving: 1) penetration by physical force or threat of physical force, 2) attempted, but not completed, penetration by physical force or threat of physical force; 3) penetration by incapacitation, 4) sexual touching by physical force or threat of physical force, 5) sexual touching by incapacitation.

³Unless otherwise specified, estimates are for victimizations reported since entering college.

Table 3.4a. Number of Times Females Experienced Nonconsensual Penetration Involving Physical Force or Incapacitation by Enrollment Status for the Current year and Since Entering College^{1, 2}

Survey Item Response	Total		Undergraduate (n=4,001)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,272)	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
<i>Current school year</i>						
<i>Number of times</i>						
0 times	95.3	0.3	94.4	0.3	98.9	0.3
1 time	3.0	0.2	3.6	0.3	0.8	0.2
2 times	0.9	0.1	1.1	0.1	s	s
3 times	0.4	0.1	0.5	0.1	-	-
4 or more times	0.3	0.1	0.4	0.1	s	s
<i>Since entering college</i>						
<i>Number of times</i>						
0 times	89.4	0.4	88.3	0.4	93.9	0.6
1 time	5.9	0.3	6.5	0.3	3.7	0.5
2 times	2.4	0.2	2.8	0.3	0.8	0.2
3 times	1.1	0.1	1.2	0.1	0.9	0.2
4 or more times	1.1	0.1	1.2	0.2	0.7	0.2

¹Per 100 students.

²Includes contact that was: a) completed by physical force or threat of physical force; b) attempted but not completed by physical force or threat of physical force or c) by incapacitation.

Table 3.4b. Number of Times Students Reported Nonconsensual Sexual Touching by Physical Force or Incapacitation by Current School Year or Since Entering Current College by Gender and Enrollment Status^{1,2}

Survey Item Response	Total		Female (n=5,273)				Male (n=3,003)			
			Undergraduate (n=4,001)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,272)		Undergraduate (n=2,189)		Graduate or Professional (n=814)	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
<i>Current school year</i>										
<i>Number of times</i>										
0 times	94.1	0.2	88.5	0.4	98.2	0.4	97.5	0.3	99.3	0.3
1 time	2.7	0.1	5.1	0.3	0.9	0.3	1.4	0.2	0.5	0.2
2 times	1.7	0.1	3.5	0.2	0.4	0.2	0.4	0.1	s	s
3 times	0.7	0.1	1.4	0.2	0.3	0.2	0.3	0.1	s	s
4 or more times	0.8	0.1	1.5	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.4	0.1	-	-
<i>Since entering college</i>										
<i>Number of times</i>										
0 times	89.9	0.3	81.2	0.6	92.1	0.8	95.9	0.4	99.0	0.3
1 time	3.8	0.2	6.7	0.3	2.7	0.4	1.9	0.3	0.3	0.2
2 times	2.7	0.2	5.2	0.4	2.2	0.4	0.8	0.2	0.3	0.2
3 times	1.5	0.1	2.8	0.2	1.0	0.2	0.5	0.1	s	s
4 or more times	2.2	0.1	4.0	0.2	1.9	0.4	0.9	0.2	s	s

¹Per 100 students.

²Includes contact that was: a) completed by physical force or threat of physical force or b) by incapacitation.

Table 3.5a. Percent of Female Victims of Nonconsensual Penetration Involving Physical Force or Incapacitation by Time Occurred During Year, Location of Incident and by Tactic^{1, 2, 3}

Survey Item Response	By Force		By Incapacitation	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Time - occurred during academic break				
No incidents occurred during academic break	76.3	2.4	83.2	3.2
Some incidents occurred during academic break	13.1	2.1	8.3	1.8
All incidents occurred during academic break	10.6	1.5	8.5	2.2
Location				
<i>Did it occur on campus or affiliated property?</i>				
Yes	57.2	2.6	48.8	3.5
No	42.8	2.6	51.2	3.5
<i>On university property</i>				
University residence hall/dorm	62.9	4.2	64.7	4.8
Fraternity or Sorority house	31.6	3.8	24.3	4.1
Other space used by single-sex org	s	s	s	s
Other residential housing	16.2	2.3	22.1	4.4
Non-residential building	13.1	2.0	6.7	2.5
Other property (e.g., outdoors)	10.7	2.0	6.4	2.4
<i>Not on university property</i>				
Private residence	81.7	2.8	79.3	4.2
Fraternity or Sorority house	16.9	3.3	12.4	3.2
Other space used by single-sex org	s	s	-	-
Restaurant, bar, or club	14.7	2.9	8.9	2.4
Other social venue	5.6	1.9	s	s
Outdoor or recreational space	3.9	1.6	s	s
Some other place	7.7	2.3	8.5	2.5

¹Per 100 victims.

²Nonconsensual penetration by force includes contact that was: a) completed by physical force or threat of physical force; or b) attempted but not completed by physical force or threat of physical force.

³Unless otherwise indicated, estimates are for victimizations reported since entering college.

Table 3.5b. Percent of Female Victims of Nonconsensual Sexual Touching Involving Physical Force or Incapacitation by Time Occurred During Year, Location of Incident and by Tactic^{1, 2, 3}

Survey Item Response	By Force		By Incapacitation	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Time - occurred during academic break				
No incidents occurred during academic break	87.1	1.3	86.0	2.2
Some incidents occurred during academic break	8.9	1.1	7.4	1.7
All incidents occurred during academic break	4.0	0.9	6.6	1.7
Location				
<i>Did it occur on campus or affiliated property?</i>				
Yes	52.0	1.8	48.0	3.5
No	48.0	1.8	52.0	3.5
<i>On university property</i>				
University residence hall/dorm	39.4	2.7	55.2	4.4
Fraternity or Sorority house	44.1	2.5	27.5	3.9
Other space used by single-sex org	s	s	s	s
Other residential housing	16.8	2.2	21.2	3.5
Non-residential building	12.7	1.9	8.9	2.5
Other property (e.g., outdoors)	25.2	2.2	13.5	3.6
<i>Not on university property</i>				
Private residence	55.2	2.4	66.1	4.4
Fraternity or Sorority house	30.5	2.4	24.8	3.9
Other space used by single-sex org	s	s	-	-
Restaurant, bar, or club	35.6	2.4	21.4	3.8
Other social venue	10.2	1.8	9.7	3.1
Outdoor or recreational space	3.1	1.1	3.6	1.5
Some other place	6.4	1.3	3.6	1.6

¹Per 100 victims.

²Sexual touching by force includes contact that was completed by physical force or threat of physical force.

³Unless otherwise indicated, estimates are for victimizations reported since entering college.

Table 3.5c. Percent of Male Victims of Nonconsensual Sexual Touching Involving Physical Force or Incapacitation by Time Occurred During Year, Location of Incident and by Tactic^{1, 2, 3}

Survey Item Response	By Force		By Incapacitation	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Time - occurred during academic break				
No incidents occurred during academic break	89.0	4.0	77.6	7.0
Some incidents occurred during academic break	4.7	2.4	10.6	4.3
All incidents occurred during academic break	6.3	3.4	11.8	6.3
Location				
<i>Did it occur on campus or affiliated property?</i>				
Yes	48.2	6.1	50.5	8.5
No	51.8	6.1	49.5	8.5
<i>On university property</i>				
University residence hall/dorm	55.4	7.9	57.5	12.4
Fraternity or Sorority house	20.4	7.2	18.7	9.9
Other space used by single-sex org	-	-	-	-
Other residential housing	17.2	6.9	36.7	9.6
Non-residential building	s	s	-	-
Other property (e.g., outdoors)	22.6	7.1	s	s
<i>Not on university property</i>				
Private residence	54.8	6.7	45.8	11.8
Fraternity or Sorority house	16.6	6.0	13.8	7.2
Other space used by single-sex org	-	-	-	-
Restaurant, bar, or club	27.2	7.3	20.9	7.6
Other social venue	12.5	5.5	s	s
Outdoor or recreational space	18.6	6.3	s	s
Some other place	18.6	6.4	s	s

¹Per 100 victims.

²Sexual touching by force includes contact that was completed by physical force or threat of physical force.

³Unless otherwise indicated, estimates are for victimizations reported since entering college.

Table 3.6a. Percent of Female Victims of Nonconsensual Penetration Involving Physical Force or Incapacitation by Offender Characteristics and Tactic^{1, 2, 3}

Survey Item Response	By Force		By Incapacitation	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Offender characteristics				
<i>Gender</i>				
Female	3.2	1.0	s	s
Male	98.0	0.8	99.0	0.6
Other gender identity	1.4	0.7	s	s
<i>Number of offenders</i>				
1 offender	72.8	2.7	78.7	2.2
2 offenders	18.0	2.2	15.5	2.2
3 or more offenders	9.2	1.4	5.8	1.4
<i>University affiliation</i>				
Student	77.8	2.0	77.2	2.5
Faculty or instructor	s	s	-	-
Coach or trainer	s	s	-	-
Other staff or administrator	s	s	-	-
Other person affiliated with a university program (ex. internship, study abroad)	3.7	1.0	-	-
The person was not affiliated with university	8.2	1.4	8.2	1.7
Don't know association with university	20.3	1.7	21.8	2.6
<i>Relationship to victim</i>				
At the time, it was someone I was dating or intimate with	20.6	1.8	14.7	2.4
Someone I had dated or was intimate with	17.0	1.8	15.2	2.6
Teacher or advisor	s	s	-	-
Co-worker, boss or supervisor	2.6	0.7	1.5	0.8
Friend or acquaintance	59.3	2.5	66.9	2.9
Stranger	31.3	2.4	23.2	2.5
Other	2.0	0.7	1.5	0.8
Don't Know	1.2	0.6	2.0	0.9

¹Per 100 victims.

²Nonconsensual penetration by force includes contact that was: a) completed by physical force or threat of physical force; or b) attempted but not completed by physical force or threat of physical force.

³Unless otherwise indicated, estimates are for victimizations reported since entering college.

Table 3.6b. Percent of Female Victims of Nonconsensual Sexual Touching Involving Physical Force or Incapacitation by Offender Characteristics and Tactic^{1, 2, 3}

Survey Item Response	By Force		By Incapacitation	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Offender characteristics				
<i>Gender</i>				
Female	2.6	0.6	2.4	1.0
Male	99.6	0.2	99.3	0.4
Other gender identity	-	-	-	-
<i>Number of offenders</i>				
1 offender	67.0	1.7	69.7	3.0
2 offenders	19.2	1.6	20.5	2.4
3 or more offenders	13.8	1.1	9.8	2.1
<i>University affiliation</i>				
Student	84.8	1.3	82.8	2.7
Faculty or instructor	0.5	0.3	s	s
Coach or trainer	s	s	-	-
Other staff or administrator	0.8	0.4	-	-
Other person affiliated with a university program (ex. internship, study abroad)	1.4	0.5	-	-
The person was not affiliated with university	12.8	1.2	10.2	2.4
Don't know association with university	9.8	1.2	14.9	2.5
<i>Relationship to victim</i>				
At the time, it was someone I was dating or intimate with	7.2	1.0	6.9	1.6
Someone I had dated or was intimate with	8.0	1.0	6.4	1.8
Teacher or advisor	s	s	-	-
Co-worker, boss or supervisor	2.6	0.6	2.0	0.9
Friend or acquaintance	41.2	2.0	60.2	3.1
Stranger	55.5	2.0	42.0	3.2
Other	2.0	0.5	1.4	0.8
Don't Know	1.7	0.6	1.3	0.7

¹Per 100 victims.

²Sexual touching by force includes contact that was completed by physical force or threat of physical force.

³Unless otherwise indicated, estimates are for victimizations reported since entering college.

Table 3.6c. Percent of Male Victims of Nonconsensual Sexual Touching Involving Physical Force or Incapacitation by Offender Characteristics and Tactic^{1, 2, 3}

Survey Item Response	By Force		By Incapacitation	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Offender characteristics				
<i>Gender</i>				
Female	86.7	4.0	67.1	7.4
Male	19.1	4.8	39.8	8.5
Other gender identity	s	s	s	s
<i>Number of offenders</i>				
1 offender	72.4	5.7	86.2	6.4
2 offenders	20.2	4.8	s	s
3 or more offenders	7.5	3.4	9.4	5.2
<i>University affiliation</i>				
Student	85.3	4.1	75.0	6.5
Faculty or instructor	-	-	-	-
Coach or trainer	-	-	-	-
Other staff or administrator	s	s	-	-
Other person affiliated with a university program (ex. internship, study abroad)	s	s	s	s
The person was not affiliated with university	5.5	2.9	s	s
Don't know association with university	7.1	2.8	14.5	5.7
<i>Relationship to victim</i>				
At the time, it was someone I was dating or intimate with	7.7	2.8	13.0	5.9
Someone I had dated or was intimate with	12.7	3.5	11.0	4.6
Teacher or advisor	-	-	-	-
Co-worker, boss or supervisor	5.4	2.4	s	s
Friend or acquaintance	60.3	6.1	42.9	7.6
Stranger	37.2	4.9	27.5	7.1
Other	4.9	2.6	s	s
Don't Know	-	-	s	s

¹Per 100 victims.

²Sexual touching by force includes contact that was completed by physical force or threat of physical force.

³Unless otherwise indicated, estimates are for victimizations reported since entering college.

Table 3.7a. Percent of Female Victims of Nonconsensual Penetration Involving Physical Force or Incapacitation by Involvement of Substances and Tactic^{1, 2, 3}

Survey Item Response	By Force		By Incapacitation	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Involvement of Substances				
<i>Offender drinking alcohol</i>				
Yes	62.8	2.4	81.0	2.7
No	21.8	2.1	7.5	1.8
Don't know	15.4	1.6	11.5	2.0
<i>Offender using drugs</i>				
Yes	11.0	1.8	14.7	2.7
No	44.8	3.0	44.6	3.5
Don't know	44.2	2.9	40.7	3.4
<i>Victim voluntarily drinking alcohol</i>				
Yes	64.5	2.6	94.0	1.9
No	35.5	2.6	6.0	1.9
<i>Victim voluntarily using drugs</i>				
Yes	5.9	1.1	8.9	2.2
No	94.1	1.1	91.1	2.2
<i>Victim given alcohol or drugs without knowledge or consent</i>				
Yes, I am certain	4.3	1.1	4.5	1.3
I suspect, but I am not certain	14.7	1.8	15.4	2.4
No	73.4	2.3	66.7	3.2
Don't know	7.6	1.3	13.4	2.2
<i>Victim passed out for all or part of incident</i>				
Yes	27.5	2.8	30.6	3.1
No	60.7	3.0	37.8	3.1
Not sure	11.8	2.0	31.6	2.6

¹ Per 100 victims.

² Nonconsensual penetration by force includes contact that was: a) completed by physical force or threat of physical force; or b) attempted but not completed by physical force or threat of physical force.

³ Unless otherwise indicated, estimates are for victimizations reported since entering college.

Table 3.7b. Percent of Female Victims of Nonconsensual Sexual Touching Involving Physical Force or Incapacitation by Involvement of Substances and Tactic^{1, 2, 3}

Survey Item Response	By Force		By Incapacitation	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Involvement of Substances				
<i>Offender drinking alcohol</i>				
Yes	69.5	2.0	81.7	2.6
No	13.3	1.4	7.7	2.0
Don't know	17.2	1.6	10.6	2.0
<i>Offender using drugs</i>				
Yes	6.0	0.8	6.5	1.5
No	34.6	1.9	40.7	3.2
Don't know	59.5	1.8	52.9	3.2
<i>Victim voluntarily drinking alcohol</i>				
Yes	63.6	1.6	88.4	2.3
No	36.4	1.6	11.6	2.3
<i>Victim voluntarily using drugs</i>				
Yes	2.3	0.6	5.2	1.5
No	97.7	0.6	94.8	1.5
<i>Victim given alcohol or drugs without knowledge or consent</i>				
Yes, I am certain	1.7	0.5	1.6	0.8
I suspect, but I am not certain	2.8	0.7	5.0	1.7
No	90.5	1.3	85.0	2.7
Don't know	5.0	0.9	8.4	2.1
<i>Victim passed out for all or part of incident</i>				
Yes	6.8	1.3	14.1	2.1
No	88.4	1.7	68.1	3.0
Not sure	4.8	1.1	17.8	2.5

¹ Per 100 victims.

² Sexual touching by force includes contact that was completed by physical force or threat of physical force.

³ Unless otherwise indicated, estimates are for victimizations reported since entering college.

Table 3.7c. Percent of Male Victims of Nonconsensual Sexual Touching Involving Physical Force or Incapacitation by Involvement of Substances and Tactic^{1, 2, 3}

Survey Item Response	By Force		By Incapacitation	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Involvement of Substances				
<i>Offender drinking alcohol</i>				
Yes	65.5	5.3	84.0	7.3
No	15.7	3.6	s	s
Don't know	18.8	4.5	9.9	5.6
<i>Offender using drugs</i>				
Yes	5.5	2.7	9.2	4.9
No	56.9	6.2	54.8	9.2
Don't know	37.6	5.7	36.0	8.8
<i>Victim voluntarily drinking alcohol</i>				
Yes	65.3	5.9	82.9	6.9
No	34.7	5.9	17.1	6.9
<i>Victim voluntarily using drugs</i>				
Yes	11.6	4.3	11.4	4.8
No	88.4	4.3	88.6	4.8
<i>Victim given alcohol or drugs without knowledge or consent</i>				
Yes, I am certain	8.3	3.5	9.6	5.1
I suspect, but I am not certain	5.4	2.4	8.8	4.5
No	84.2	4.8	73.2	7.5
Don't know	s	s	s	s
<i>Victim passed out for all or part of incident</i>				
Yes	5.6	3.0	26.4	7.2
No	92.2	3.6	64.3	7.7
Not sure	s	s	9.3	4.4

¹ Per 100 victims.

² Sexual touching by force includes contact that was completed by physical force or threat of physical force.

³ Unless otherwise indicated, estimates are for victimizations reported since entering college.

Table 3.8a. Percent of Female Victims of Nonconsensual Penetration Involving Physical Force or Incapacitation by Physical and Emotional Consequences and Tactic^{1, 2, 3}

Survey Item Response	By Force		By Incapacitation	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Consequences				
<i>Physical</i>				
Physical injuries	15.9	1.5	4.8	1.4
Bruises, black-eye, cuts, scratches, or swelling	80.4	5.2	91.3	8.1
Chipped or knocked out teeth	-	-	-	-
Broken bones	s	s	s	s
Internal injury from the sexual contact (e.g., vaginal or anal tearing)	67.1	5.8	-	-
Other injuries	7.6	3.2	-	-
Contract a sexually transmitted disease	4.1	1.1	1.8	0.8
Become pregnant from the experience	s	s	0.7	0.6
None of the above	79.2	2.2	91.6	1.7
<i>Emotional</i>				
Difficulty concentrating on studies, assignments or exams	58.8	3.1	38.5	3.6
Fearfulness or being concerned about safety	43.5	2.7	17.9	2.5
Loss of interest in daily activities, or feelings of helplessness and hopelessness	46.5	2.8	30.6	3.5
Nightmares or trouble sleeping	46.6	2.7	21.2	2.8
Feeling numb or detached	49.9	2.6	36.5	3.2
Headaches or stomach aches	28.5	2.3	14.3	2.5
Eating problems or disorders	23.4	2.4	13.0	2.4
Increased drug or alcohol use	26.7	2.6	19.7	2.7
None of the above	20.8	2.3	37.1	3.5

¹ Per 100 victims.

² Penetration by force includes contact that was: a) completed by physical force or threat of physical force; or b) attempted but not completed by physical force or threat of physical force.

³ Unless otherwise indicated, estimates are for victimizations reported since entering college.

Table 3.8b. Percent of Female Victims of Nonconsensual Sexual Touching Involving Physical Force or Incapacitation by Physical and Emotional Consequences and Tactic^{1, 2, 3}

Survey Item Response	By Force		By Incapacitation	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Consequences				
<i>Physical</i>				
Physical injuries	1.1	0.4	2.5	1.1
Bruises, black-eye, cuts, scratches, or swelling	82.6	17.4	s	s
Chipped or knocked out teeth	-	-	-	-
Broken bones	-	-	s	s
Internal injury from the sexual contact (e.g., vaginal or anal tearing)	s	s	s	s
Other injuries	-	-	s	s
Contract a sexually transmitted disease	s	s	s	s
Become pregnant from the experience	s	s	s	s
None of the above	97.4	0.6	96.1	1.3
<i>Emotional</i>				
Difficulty concentrating on studies, assignments or exams	15.0	1.3	12.6	2.5
Fearfulness or being concerned about safety	20.6	1.6	9.7	1.8
Loss of interest in daily activities, or feelings of helplessness and hopelessness	9.4	1.1	8.8	1.5
Nightmares or trouble sleeping	10.7	1.1	8.4	1.5
Feeling numb or detached	14.7	1.3	14.1	2.1
Headaches or stomach aches	6.6	1.2	5.4	1.3
Eating problems or disorders	5.8	0.9	2.2	0.8
Increased drug or alcohol use	4.3	0.7	9.7	2.2
None of the above	62.6	1.7	63.2	3.1

¹ Per 100 victims.

² Sexual touching by force includes contact that was completed by physical force or threat of physical force.

³ Unless otherwise indicated, estimates are for victimizations reported since entering college.

Table 3.8c. Percent of Male Victims of Nonconsensual Sexual Touching Involving Physical Force or Incapacitation by Physical and Emotional Consequences and Tactic^{1, 2, 3}

Survey Item Response	By Force		By Incapacitation	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Consequences				
<i>Physical</i>				
Physical injuries	s	s	-	-
Bruises, black-eye, cuts, scratches, or swelling	s	s	-	-
Chipped or knocked out teeth	-	-	-	-
Broken bones	-	-	-	-
Internal injury from the sexual contact (e.g., vaginal or anal tearing)	-	-	-	-
Other injuries	-	-	-	-
Contract a sexually transmitted disease	-	-	s	s
Become pregnant from the experience	-	-	-	-
None of the above	94.7	2.3	93.5	4.2
<i>Emotional</i>				
Difficulty concentrating on studies, assignments or exams	12.0	3.7	20.2	6.5
Fearfulness or being concerned about safety	5.1	2.9	7.6	4.1
Loss of interest in daily activities, or feelings of helplessness and hopelessness	9.2	3.2	7.7	4.1
Nightmares or trouble sleeping	6.7	3.2	8.9	4.8
Feeling numb or detached	5.3	2.4	19.9	7.4
Headaches or stomach aches	s	s	s	s
Eating problems or disorders	4.1	2.8	7.7	4.1
Increased drug or alcohol use	7.0	3.3	s	s
None of the above	84.5	4.0	67.6	8.5

¹ Per 100 victims.

² Sexual touching by force includes contact that was completed by physical force or threat of physical force.

³ Unless otherwise indicated, estimates are for victimizations reported since entering college.

Table 3.9a. Percent of Female Victims of Nonconsensual Penetration or Sexual Touching Involving Physical Force or Incapacitation reporting to a Program, Reporting to Others and Reasons Why Did Not Report to a Program by Behavior and Tactic^{1, 2, 3}

Survey Item Response	Penetration				Sexual Touching			
	By Force		By Incapacitation		By Force		By Incapacitation	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Report of incident to a Program								
<i>Reported to a Program</i>								
Yes	28.1	2.4	13.5	2.5	6.8	0.9	5.1	1.6
No	71.9	2.4	86.5	2.5	93.2	0.9	94.9	1.6
<i>Did not contact a Program</i>								
Did not know where to go or who to tell	14.3	2.1	13.9	2.7	8.8	1.2	5.3	1.2
Felt embarrassed, ashamed, or that it would be too emotionally difficult	39.4	2.7	29.7	3.3	10.9	1.2	12.3	2.3
I did not think anyone would believe me	17.0	2.3	8.0	1.9	6.5	0.9	4.7	1.3
I did not think it was serious enough to report	60.7	3.3	56.4	3.9	74.1	1.4	76.4	2.9
I did not want the person to get into trouble	23.4	2.6	25.3	2.8	9.8	1.1	13.4	2.3
I feared negative social consequences	28.0	2.7	25.2	4.1	10.6	1.2	13.7	2.3
I did not think anything would be done	28.2	2.4	19.3	2.7	22.2	1.7	17.4	2.3
I feared it would not be kept confidential	19.8	2.2	16.2	2.8	4.4	0.9	4.4	1.3
Incident was not on campus or associated with the school	3.2	1.1	2.7	1.0	2.5	0.6	3.2	1.1
Incident did not occur while attending school	15.4	1.8	18.1	2.4	11.4	1.3	13.0	2.0
Other Reason	13.5	2.4	23.4	2.3	15.5	1.5	13.6	2.6

Table 3.9a. Percent of Female Victims of Nonconsensual Penetration or Sexual Touching Involving Physical Force or Incapacitation reporting to a Program, Reporting to Others and Reasons Why Did Not Report to a Program by Behavior and Tactic^{1, 2, 3} (continued)

Survey Item Response	Penetration				Sexual Touching			
	By Force		By Incapacitation		By Force		By Incapacitation	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
<i>Report to others</i>								
Friend	81.0	1.9	80.4	2.8	79.1	2.0	75.6	3.0
Family member	25.7	2.3	12.5	2.0	12.1	1.3	5.2	1.2
Faculty	9.9	1.7	4.0	1.1	1.6	0.4	-	-
Someone else	10.0	1.8	5.5	1.3	3.0	0.7	2.5	0.9
I didn't tell anyone else	17.6	2.1	20.2	2.8	20.2	2.0	23.7	3.1

¹ Per 100 victims.

² Includes contact involving: 1) penetration by physical force or threat of physical force, 2) attempted, but not completed, penetration by physical force or threat of physical force; 3) penetration by incapacitation, 4) sexual touching by physical force or threat of physical force, 5) sexual touching by incapacitation.

³ Unless otherwise indicated, estimates are for victimizations reported since entering college.

Table 3.9b. Percent of Male Victims of Nonconsensual Sexual Touching Involving Physical Force or Incapacitation Reporting to a Program, Reporting to Others and Reasons Why Did Not Report to a Program by Tactic^{1, 2, 3}

Survey Item Response	By Force		By Incapacitation	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Report of incident to a Program				
<i>Reported to a program</i>				
Inapplicable	-	-	-	-
No	95.0	2.9	92.8	5.0
Yes	5.0	2.9	s	s
<i>Did not contact a program</i>				
Did not know where to go or who to tell	5.3	2.7	s	s
Felt embarrassed, ashamed, or that it would be too emotionally difficult	7.1	3.2	10.7	5.7
I did not think anyone would believe me	7.9	3.6	s	s
I did not think anything would be done	5.1	3.6	11.5	5.0
I did not think it was serious enough to report	67.5	5.4	67.0	7.7
I did not want the person to get into trouble	15.2	4.0	17.9	6.1
I feared it would not be kept confidential	s	s	8.0	4.0
I feared negative social consequences	10.3	3.4	12.7	5.6
Incident did not occur while attending school	6.7	2.8	s	s
Incident was not on campus or associated with the school	s	s	-	-
Other Reason	26.9	5.7	19.1	5.9
<i>Report to others</i>				
Friend	59.3	6.4	53.1	10.0
Family member	6.7	3.6	9.4	5.0
Faculty	-	-	s	s
Someone else	6.6	3.1	8.8	4.7
I didn't tell anyone else	36.1	5.8	34.5	8.8

¹Per 100 victims.

²Sexual touching by force includes contact that was completed by physical force or threat of physical force.

³Unless otherwise indicated, estimates are for victimizations reported since entering college.

Table 3.9c. Percent of Victims of Nonconsensual Penetration or Sexual Touching Involving Physical Force or Incapacitation reporting to a Program, Reporting to Others and Reasons Why Did Not Report to an Organization^{1, 2}

<i>Survey Item Response</i>	MSU Police		Office for Inclusion (Title IX Coordinator's office)		East Lansing or Other Community Police Agency	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Report of incident to a Program	24.9	3.6	18.9	2.9	8.0	1.8
<i>When contacted</i>						
Fall of 2014 - present	31.4	4.7	45.9	8.6	29.2	11.7
Fall of 2013 - Summer of 2014	34.9	6.4	39.7	8.8	36.3	12.3
Fall of 2012 - Summer of 2013	14.9	4.7	9.1	4.7	25.3	9.9
Prior to Fall 2012	18.7	4.9	s	s	s	s
<i>How useful</i>						
Not at all	30.7	12.7	38.9	13.6	-	-
A little	s	s	30.1	13.5	s	s
Somewhat	19.1	8.5	s	s	s	s
Very	36.1	12.6	19.7	9.6	-	-
Extremely	s	s	-	-	s	s
Evaluation of Contact made since fall of 2014						
<i>Pressure from university on whether to proceed</i>						
Yes	24.2	11.7	40.8	13.5	s	s
To proceed	s	s	52.7	31.1	s	s
Not to proceed	s	s	s	s	-	-
No	75.8	11.7	59.2	13.5	70.6	30.6

Table 3.9c. Percent of Victims of Nonconsensual Penetration or Sexual Touching Involving Physical Force or Incapacitation reporting to a Program, Reporting to Others and Reasons Why Did Not Report to an Organization^{1, 2} (continued)

<i>Survey Item Response</i>	MSU Police		Office for Inclusion (Title IX Coordinator's office)		East Lansing or Other Community Police Agency	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Report of incident to a Program	24.9	3.6	18.9	2.9	8.0	1.8
<i>Program showed respect towards victim</i>						
Excellent	34.1	12.1	s	s	s	s
Very good	38.2	11.8	16.8	8.7	s	s
Good	s	s	31.4	13.3	s	s
Fair	s	s	s	s	-	-
Poor	s	s	30.4	14.7	-	-
<i>Helped to understand options</i>						
Excellent	21.8	10.9	s	s	s	s
Very good	42.5	13.1	30.5	10.8	s	s
Good	s	s	s	s	s	s
Fair	29.7	12.0	22.3	10.7	-	-
Poor	-	-	25.1	14.4	-	-

Table 3.9c. Percent of Victims of Nonconsensual Penetration or Sexual Touching Involving Physical Force or Incapacitation reporting to a Program, Reporting to Others and Reasons Why Did Not Report to an Organization^{1, 2} (continued)

Survey Item Response	Olin Student Health Services		MSU Counseling Center		SAFE Place	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Report of incident to a Program	24.2	3.0	54.3	3.8	5.1	1.5
<i>When contacted</i>						
Fall of 2014 - present	37.5	6.6	43.7	5.1	56.1	19.0
Fall of 2013 - Summer of 2014	34.5	7.7	30.3	4.5	31.6	17.0
Fall of 2012 - Summer of 2013	14.4	5.1	13.2	3.2	s	s
Prior to Fall 2012	13.6	4.9	12.8	2.7	-	-
<i>How useful</i>						
Not at all	-	-	10.8	6.2	-	-
A little	-	-	20.8	7.0	-	-
Somewhat	25.4	13.1	32.9	7.8	-	-
Very	51.6	14.1	19.4	6.5	s	s
Extremely	23.0	10.5	20.9	6.1	76.8	25.5
Evaluation of Contact made since fall of 2014						
<i>Pressure from university on whether to proceed</i>						
Yes	s	s	s	s	-	-
To proceed	s	s	s	s	-	-
Not to proceed	-	-	-	-	-	-
No	87.2	8.4	93.8	4.0	100.0	0.0

Table 3.9c. Percent of Victims of Nonconsensual Penetration or Sexual Touching Involving Physical Force or Incapacitation reporting to a Program, Reporting to Others and Reasons Why Did Not Report to an Organization^{1, 2} (continued)

Survey Item Response	Olin Student Health Services		MSU Counseling Center		SAFE Place	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Report of incident to a Program	24.2	3.0	54.3	3.8	5.1	1.5
<i>Program showed respect towards victim</i>						
Excellent	62.6	12.4	52.2	7.7	76.8	25.5
Very good	25.4	11.9	14.5	4.6	s	s
Good	23.9	12.7	14.6	5.4	-	-
Fair	-	-	18.7	5.9	-	-
Poor	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Helped to understand options</i>						
Excellent	50.7	14.2	20.5	5.7	76.8	25.5
Very good	s	s	33.3	8.3	s	s
Good	30.6	11.9	22.7	6.0	-	-
Fair	s	s	23.5	7.0	-	-
Poor	-	-	-	-	-	-

Table 3.9c. Percent of Victims of Nonconsensual Penetration or Sexual Touching Involving Physical Force or Incapacitation reporting to a Program, Reporting to Others and Reasons Why Did Not Report to an Organization^{1, 2} (continued)

<i>Survey Item Response</i>	MSU Sexual Assault Program or 24/7 MSU Sexual Assault Hotline		Residence Education and Housing Services		MSU Women's Resource Center	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Report of incident to a Program	35.7	3.9	11.5	2.5	4.3	1.3
<i>When contacted</i>						
Fall of 2014 - present	54.5	7.8	46.3	14.3	s	s
Fall of 2013 - Summer of 2014	22.2	6.3	26.7	13.1	s	s
Fall of 2012 - Summer of 2013	s	s	s	s	s	s
Prior to Fall 2012	20.1	5.8	s	s	s	s
<i>How useful</i>						
Not at all	-	-	s	s	-	-
A little	-	-	-	-	s	s
Somewhat	12.7	5.9	s	s	-	-
Very	32.4	9.9	s	s	-	-
Extremely	54.9	9.8	s	s	s	s
Evaluation of Contact made since fall of 2014						
<i>Pressure from university on whether to proceed</i>						
Yes	s	s	-	-	-	-
To proceed	s	s	-	-	-	-
Not to proceed	-	-	-	-	-	-
No	93.4	4.4	100.0	0.0	s	s

Table 3.9c. Percent of Victims of Nonconsensual Penetration or Sexual Touching Involving Physical Force or Incapacitation reporting to a Program, Reporting to Others and Reasons Why Did Not Report to an Organization^{1, 2} (continued)

Survey Item Response	MSU Sexual Assault Program or 24/7 MSU Sexual Assault Hotline		Residence Education and Housing Services		MSU Women's Resource Center	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Report of incident to a Program	35.7	3.9	11.5	2.5	4.3	1.3
<i>Program showed respect towards victim</i>						
Excellent	86.2	5.8	70.2	19.4	s	s
Very good	13.8	5.8	s	s	-	-
Good	-	-	-	-	s	s
Fair	-	-	s	s	-	-
Poor	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Helped to understand options</i>						
Excellent	70.5	7.0	57.8	21.6	s	s
Very good	26.6	7.1	s	s	-	-
Good	s	s	-	-	-	-
Fair	-	-	-	-	s	s
Poor	-	-	s	s	-	-

¹ Per 100 victims.

² Includes contact involving: 1) penetration by physical force or threat of physical force, 2) attempted, but not completed, penetration by physical force or threat of physical force; 3) penetration by incapacitation, 4) sexual touching by physical force or threat of physical force, 5) sexual touching by incapacitation.

Table 4.1 Percent of Students Experiencing Nonconsensual Penetration or Sexual Touching Involving Coercion or Absence of Affirmative Consent by Behavior, Tactic, Current Year vs. Since Entering College, Gender and Enrollment Status^{1,2}

Survey Item Response	Total		Female (n=5,273)				Male (n=3,003)			
			Undergraduate (n=4,001)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,272)		Undergraduate (n=2,189)		Graduate or Professional (n=814)	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
<i>Current school year</i>										
Coercion	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.1	s	s	s	s	-	-
Penetration	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	s	s	-	-	-	-
Sexual touching	0.1	0.0	s	s	s	s	s	s	-	-
Absence of affirmative consent	3.2	0.2	5.8	0.3	2.0	0.4	1.3	0.2	0.7	0.3
Penetration	1.1	0.1	2.1	0.2	1.1	0.3	0.1	0.1	s	s
Sexual touching	2.5	0.1	4.4	0.3	1.0	0.3	1.2	0.2	0.5	0.2
<i>Since entering college</i>										
Coercion	0.3	0.0	0.4	0.1	0.3	0.1	0.1	0.1	-	-
Penetration	0.2	0.0	0.3	0.1	0.3	0.1	-	-	-	-
Sexual touching	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.1	s	s	0.1	0.1	-	-
Absence of affirmative consent	5.6	0.2	10.0	0.4	6.2	0.7	1.8	0.2	1.2	0.4
Penetration	2.3	0.1	4.4	0.3	3.3	0.5	0.4	0.1	0.5	0.2
Sexual touching	4.2	0.2	7.4	0.3	3.8	0.5	1.5	0.2	0.9	0.3

**Table 4.1 Percent of Students Experiencing Nonconsensual Penetration or Sexual Touching Involving Coercion or Absence of Affirmative Consent by Behavior, Tactic, Current Year vs. Since Entering College, Gender and Enrollment Status^{1,2}
(continued)**

Survey Item Response	TGQN (n=76)			
	Undergraduate (n=59)		Graduate or Professional (n=17)	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
<i>Current school year</i>				
Coercion	-	-	-	-
Penetration	-	-	-	-
Sexual touching	-	-	-	-
Absence of affirmative consent	13.3	4.3	s	s
Penetration	4.0	2.1	s	s
Sexual touching	10.6	4.0	-	-
<i>Since entering college</i>				
Coercion	s	s	-	-
Penetration	s	s	-	-
Sexual touching	-	-	-	-
Absence of affirmative consent	18.5	5.1	14.6	8.1
Penetration	5.4	2.3	s	s
Sexual touching	15.8	4.9	s	s

¹Per 100 students.

²TGQN = Transgender woman, Transgender man, Genderqueer, gender non-conforming, questioning, not listed.

Table 4.2. Number of Times Students Experienced Nonconsensual Penetration or Sexual Touching Involving Coercion or Absence of Affirmative Consent by Behavior, Tactic, Victim Characteristics, Gender and Enrollment Status^{1, 2}

Survey Item Response	Total		Female (n=5,273)				Male (n=3,003)			
			Undergraduate (n=4,001)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,272)		Undergraduate (n=2,189)		Graduate or Professional (n=814)	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Current school year										
<i>Coercion</i>										
<i>Penetration</i>										
0 times	100	0.0	99.9	0.0	99.9	0.1	100	0.0	100	0.0
1 time	0.0	0.0	s	s	-	-	-	-	-	-
2 times	0.0	0.0	-	-	s	s	-	-	-	-
3 times	0.0	0.0	s	s	-	-	-	-	-	-
4 or more times	0.0	0.0	s	s	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Sexual Touching</i>										
0 times	99.9	0.0	100	0.0	99.9	0.1	99.9	0.1	100	0.0
1 time	0.0	0.0	s	s	-	-	s	s	-	-
2 times	0.0	0.0	-	-	s	s	-	-	-	-
3 times	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
4 or more times	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Without affirmative consent</i>										
<i>Penetration</i>										
0 times	98.9	0.1	97.9	0.2	98.9	0.3	99.9	0.1	100	0.1
1 time	0.8	0.1	1.5	0.2	0.6	0.2	0.1	0.1	s	s
2 times	0.2	0.0	0.3	0.1	0.2	0.1	-	-	-	-
3 times	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.1	s	s	-	-	-	-
4 or more times	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.1	s	s	-	-	-	-

Table 4.2. Number of Times Students Experienced Nonconsensual Penetration or Sexual Touching Involving Coercion or Absence of Affirmative Consent by Behavior, Tactic, Victim Characteristics, Gender and Enrollment Status^{1, 2} (continued)

Survey Item Response	Total		Female (n=5,273)				Male (n=3,003)			
			Undergraduate (n=4,001)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,272)		Undergraduate (n=2,189)		Graduate or Professional (n=814)	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
<i>Without affirmative consent</i>										
<i>Sexual Touching</i>										
0 times	97.5	0.1	95.6	0.3	99.0	0.3	98.8	0.2	99.5	0.2
1 time	1.4	0.1	2.5	0.2	0.8	0.3	0.7	0.2	s	s
2 times	0.6	0.1	1.2	0.2	s	s	0.3	0.1	0.4	0.2
3 times	0.2	0.0	0.5	0.1	s	s	s	s	-	-
4 or more times	0.2	0.1	0.3	0.1	s	s	0.2	0.1	-	-
<i>Since entering college</i>										
<i>Coercion</i>										
<i>Penetration</i>										
0 times	99.8	0.0	99.7	0.1	99.7	0.1	100	0.0	100	0.0
1 time	0.1	0.0	0.2	0.1	s	s	-	-	-	-
2 times	0.0	0.0	s	s	s	s	-	-	-	-
3 times	0.0	0.0	s	s	-	-	-	-	-	-
4 or more times	0.0	0.0	s	s	s	s	-	-	-	-
<i>Sexual Touching</i>										
0 times	99.9	0.0	99.9	0.1	99.8	0.1	99.9	0.1	100	0.0
1 time	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.1	-	-	0.1	0.1	-	-
2 times	0.0	0.0	s	s	s	s	-	-	-	-
3 times	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
4 or more times	0.0	0.0	-	-	s	s	-	-	-	-

Table 4.2. Number of Times Students Experienced Nonconsensual Penetration or Sexual Touching Involving Coercion or Absence of Affirmative Consent by Behavior, Tactic, Victim Characteristics, Gender and Enrollment Status^{1, 2} (continued)

Survey Item Response	Total		Female (n=5,273)				Male (n=3,003)			
			Undergraduate (n=4,001)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,272)		Undergraduate (n=2,189)		Graduate or Professional (n=814)	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
<i>Without affirmative consent</i>										
<i>Penetration</i>										
0 times	97.7	0.1	95.6	0.3	96.7	0.5	99.6	0.1	99.5	0.2
1 time	1.4	0.1	2.7	0.2	1.8	0.4	0.3	0.1	0.5	0.2
2 times	0.4	0.1	0.8	0.1	0.6	0.2	s	s	-	-
3 times	0.2	0.0	0.4	0.1	0.5	0.2	s	s	-	-
4 or more times	0.2	0.0	0.5	0.1	0.4	0.2	-	-	-	-
<i>Sexual Touching</i>										
0 times	95.8	0.2	92.6	0.3	96.2	0.5	98.5	0.2	99.1	0.3
1 time	2.2	0.1	4.0	0.3	2.5	0.4	0.8	0.2	s	s
2 times	1.1	0.1	1.8	0.2	0.7	0.2	0.4	0.1	0.5	0.2
3 times	0.4	0.1	0.8	0.1	0.3	0.1	0.1	0.1	s	s
4 or more times	0.4	0.1	0.7	0.1	0.3	0.1	0.2	0.1	s	s

¹Per 100 students.

²Unless otherwise indicated, estimates are for victimizations reported since entering college.

Table 4.3. Percent of Students Experiencing Nonconsensual Penetration or Sexual Touching Involving Absence of Affirmative Consent by Victim Characteristics, Gender and Enrollment Status^{1,2}

Survey Item Response	Total		Female (n=5,273)				Male (n=3,003)			
			Undergraduate (n=4,001)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,272)		Undergraduate (n=2,189)		Graduate or Professional (n=814)	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
<i>Sexual orientation</i>										
Heterosexual	5.1	0.2	9.2	0.4	5.2	0.7	1.7	0.3	0.8	0.4
Non-Heterosexual	11.1	0.8	16.2	1.7	14.1	4.1	2.8	1.2	4.5	2.0
<i>Ethnicity</i>										
Hispanic	5.6	1.0	7.9	1.7	9.0	3.4	3.7	2.0	s	s
Not Hispanic	5.7	0.2	10.1	0.4	6.1	0.7	1.7	0.3	1.1	0.3
<i>Race</i>										
American Indian or Alaska Native	4.0	1.4	7.2	2.8	-	-	-	-	s	s
Asian	2.7	0.4	6.2	1.1	2.5	1.1	1.2	0.6	-	-
Black or African American	6.0	0.8	9.7	1.5	s	s	2.7	1.5	-	-
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	8.0	3.7	15.3	7.7	18.8	20.3	-	-	-	-
White	6.4	0.2	10.8	0.4	8.2	0.9	1.9	0.3	1.8	0.6
<i>Disability</i>										
Yes	11.6	1.8	12.5	2.0	15.0	5.3	6.3	3.2	s	s
No	5.4	0.2	9.9	0.4	6.0	0.7	1.7	0.2	1.1	0.4

Table 4.3. Percent of Students Experiencing Nonconsensual Penetration or Sexual Touching Involving Absence of Affirmative Consent by Victim Characteristics, Gender and Enrollment Status^{1,2} (continued)

Survey Item Response	Total		Female (n=5,273)				Male (n=3,003)			
			Undergraduate (n=4,001)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,272)		Undergraduate (n=2,189)		Graduate or Professional (n=814)	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
<i>Marital status</i>										
Never married	3.6	0.4	-	-	6.4	0.9	-	-	0.8	0.4
Not married but living with a partner	7.2	1.4	-	-	8.4	1.8	-	-	5.1	2.3
Married	1.7	0.5	-	-	3.9	1.0	-	-	s	s
Divorced or separated	3.1	2.0	-	-	s	s	-	-	-	-
Other	9.3	5.3	-	-	s	s	-	-	s	s
<i>Year in School and Timing of Incidents</i>										
<i>Undergraduate</i>										
<i>Current year</i>										
Freshman	4.3	0.5	6.1	0.7	-	-	1.8	0.6	-	-
Sophomore	3.8	0.4	6.4	0.7	-	-	0.8	0.3	-	-
Junior	3.5	0.5	5.6	0.8	-	-	1.2	0.4	-	-
Senior	3.6	0.3	5.5	0.6	-	-	1.4	0.4	-	-
<i>Since entering college</i>										
Freshman	4.4	0.5	6.3	0.7	-	-	1.8	0.6	-	-
Sophomore	4.9	0.5	8.5	0.9	-	-	0.8	0.3	-	-
Junior	5.9	0.5	10.3	0.9	-	-	1.3	0.4	-	-
Senior	8.5	0.5	13.2	0.8	-	-	3.0	0.6	-	-

Table 4.3. Percent of Students Experiencing Nonconsensual Penetration or Sexual Touching Involving Absence of Affirmative Consent by Victim Characteristics, Gender and Enrollment Status^{1,2} (continued)

Survey Item Response	Total		Female (n=5,273)				Male (n=3,003)			
			Undergraduate (n=4,001)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,272)		Undergraduate (n=2,189)		Graduate or Professional (n=814)	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
<i>Graduate/Professional</i>										
<i>Current year</i>										
1st	1.2	0.3	-	-	1.8	0.5	-	-	s	s
2nd	1.8	0.5	-	-	2.3	0.7	-	-	1.2	0.6
3rd	0.8	0.6	-	-	s	s	-	-	s	s
4th	1.5	0.8	-	-	s	s	-	-	s	s
5th	1.9	1.0	-	-	4.1	2.1	-	-	-	-
6th year or more	1.1	0.8	-	-	s	s	-	-	-	-
<i>Since entering college</i>										
1st	3.1	0.4	-	-	5.3	0.8	-	-	s	s
2nd	3.9	0.7	-	-	6.2	1.2	-	-	1.6	0.7
3rd	2.8	0.8	-	-	4.6	1.3	-	-	s	s
4th	4.4	1.3	-	-	7.3	2.0	-	-	s	s
5th	6.5	1.8	-	-	12.1	3.6	-	-	s	s
6th year or more	3.3	1.2	-	-	7.3	2.8	-	-	-	-

¹Per 100 students.

²Unless otherwise specified, estimates are for victimizations reported since entering college.

Table 4.4. Percent of Female Students Experiencing Nonconsensual Penetration or Sexual Touching Involving Absence of Affirmative Consent by Victim Characteristics, Behavior and Enrollment Status^{1,2}

Survey Item Response	Total		Penetration				Sexual Touching				
			Undergraduate		Graduate or Professional		Undergraduate		Graduate or Professional		
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	
<i>Sexual orientation</i>											
Heterosexual	8.4	0.4	3.8	0.3	2.6	0.4	6.9	0.4	3.3	0.5	
Non-Heterosexual	15.8	1.6	8.8	1.4	8.7	3.2	11.0	1.3	7.4	2.2	
<i>Ethnicity</i>											
Hispanic	8.1	1.5	3.7	1.2	4.8	2.5	4.8	1.4	5.8	2.6	
Not Hispanic	9.3	0.4	4.4	0.3	3.2	0.5	7.5	0.4	3.7	0.5	
<i>Race</i>											
American Indian or Alaska Native	5.9	2.3	4.4	2.4	-	-	5.7	2.6	-	-	
Asian	5.0	0.8	2.3	0.7	1.7	1.0	4.7	0.9	s	s	
Black or African American	8.3	1.2	3.9	1.0	-	-	7.3	1.4	s	s	
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	15.7	6.9	-	-	s	s	15.3	7.7	s	s	
White	10.3	0.4	4.7	0.3	4.3	0.6	8.0	0.4	5.2	0.7	
<i>Disability</i>											
Yes	12.8	1.8	6.0	1.7	9.6	5.2	8.1	1.8	s	s	
No	9.1	0.3	4.3	0.3	3.1	0.5	7.4	0.4	3.7	0.5	
<i>Marital status</i>											
Never married	6.4	0.9	-	-	3.2	0.7	-	-	3.6	0.6	
Not married but living with a partner	8.4	1.8	-	-	5.2	1.4	-	-	5.7	1.5	
Married	3.9	1.0	-	-	1.6	0.6	-	-	2.6	0.7	
Divorced or separated	s	s	-	-	s	s	-	-	s	s	
Other	8.2	5.2	-	-	s	s	-	-	s	s	

Table 4.4. Percent of Female Students Experiencing Nonconsensual Penetration or Sexual Touching Involving Absence of Affirmative Consent by Victim Characteristics, Behavior and Enrollment Status^{1,2} (continued)

Survey Item Response	Total		Penetration				Sexual Touching			
			Undergraduate		Graduate or Professional		Undergraduate		Graduate or Professional	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
<i>Year in School and Timing of Incidents</i>										
<i>Undergraduate</i>										
<i>Current year</i>										
Freshman	6.1	0.7	2.1	0.4	-	-	5.1	0.7	-	-
Sophomore	6.4	0.7	1.4	0.4	-	-	5.7	0.7	-	-
Junior	5.6	0.8	1.8	0.3	-	-	4.3	0.7	-	-
Senior	5.5	0.6	2.8	0.4	-	-	3.3	0.5	-	-
<i>Since entering college</i>										
Freshman	6.3	0.7	2.2	0.4	-	-	5.3	0.7	-	-
Sophomore	8.5	0.9	2.3	0.5	-	-	7.7	0.8	-	-
Junior	10.3	0.9	4.7	0.5	-	-	7.5	0.8	-	-
Senior	13.2	0.8	7.0	0.7	-	-	8.5	0.7	-	-
<i>Graduate/Professional</i>										
<i>Current year</i>										
1st year	1.8	0.5	-	-	s	s	-	-	1.7	0.4
2nd year	2.3	0.7	-	-	1.7	0.6	-	-	s	s
3rd year	s	s	-	-	s	s	-	-	s	s
4th year	s	s	-	-	s	s	-	-	s	s
5th year	4.1	2.1	-	-	s	s	-	-	s	s
6th year	s	s	-	-	s	s	-	-	s	s

Table 4.4. Percent of Female Students Experiencing Nonconsensual Penetration or Sexual Touching Involving Absence of Affirmative Consent by Victim Characteristics, Behavior and Enrollment Status^{1,2} (continued)

Survey Item Response	Total		Penetration				Sexual Touching			
			Undergraduate		Graduate or Professional		Undergraduate		Graduate or Professional	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
<i>Since entering college</i>										
1st year	5.3	0.8	-	-	2.3	0.6	-	-	3.6	0.6
2nd year	6.2	1.2	-	-	3.8	0.9	-	-	3.1	0.8
3rd year	4.6	1.3	-	-	2.7	1.0	-	-	3.8	1.2
4th year	7.3	2.0	-	-	s	s	-	-	7.3	2.0
5th year	12.1	3.6	-	-	9.1	3.0	-	-	3.9	1.8
6th year	7.3	2.8	-	-	5.3	2.4	-	-	s	s

¹Per 100 students.

² Unless otherwise specified, estimates are for victimizations reported since entering college.

Table 4.5a. Percent of Female Victims of Nonconsensual Penetration or Sexual Touching Involving Coercion or Absence of Affirmative Consent by Offender Characteristics by Behavior and Tactic^{1, 2}

Survey Item Response	Coercion				Absence of Affirmative Consent			
	Penetration		Sexual Touching		Penetration		Sexual Touching	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Offender characteristics								
<i>Gender</i>								
Male	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	98.9	0.7	99.4	0.3
Female	-	-	s	s	2.6	1.1	1.7	0.8
<i>Number of offenders</i>								
1 offender	100.0	0.0	72.2	28.6	73.6	3.0	67.0	3.0
2 offenders	-	-	s	s	19.4	2.8	19.3	2.4
3 or more offenders	-	-	-	-	7.0	1.6	13.8	2.3
<i>University affiliation</i>								
Student	50.8	12.1	100.0	0.0	78.4	2.5	81.7	2.4
Faculty or instructor	-	-	-	-	-	-	s	s
Coach or trainer	-	-	-	-	-	-	s	s
Other staff or administrator	s	s	-	-	-	-	s	s
Other person affiliated with a university program (ex. internship, study abroad)	-	-	s	s	s	s	1.5	0.6
The person was not affiliated with university	s	s	-	-	5.1	1.3	12.8	2.0
Don't know association with university	37.4	11.2	-	-	22.0	2.8	13.1	1.8

Table 4.5a. Percent of Female Victims of Nonconsensual Penetration or Sexual Touching Involving Coercion or Absence of Affirmative Consent by Offender Characteristics by Behavior and Tactic^{1, 2} (continued)

Survey Item Response	Coercion				Absence of Affirmative Consent			
	Penetration		Sexual Touching		Penetration		Sexual Touching	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
<i>Relationship to victim</i>								
At the time, it was someone I was dating or intimate with	44.4	14.6	s	s	35.0	3.3	15.0	2.2
Someone I had dated or was intimate with	32.9	11.5	-	-	17.8	2.8	13.0	2.0
Teacher or advisor	-	-	-	-	-	-	s	s
Co-worker, boss or supervisor	s	s	-	-	1.5	0.8	3.2	0.9
Friend or acquaintance	38.2	11.6	s	s	51.2	3.4	46.0	3.0
Stranger	s	s	s	s	17.8	2.3	43.7	3.3
Other	-	-	-	-	s	s	1.6	0.7
Don't Know	-	-	-	-	s	s	1.3	0.7

¹Per 100 victims.

²Unless otherwise indicated, estimates are for victimizations reported since entering college.

Table 4.5b. Percent of Male Victims of Nonconsensual Penetration or Sexual Touching Involving Coercion or Absence of Affirmative Consent by Offender Characteristics by Behavior and Tactic^{1, 2}

Survey Item Response	Coercion				Absence of Affirmative Consent			
	Penetration		Sexual Touching		Penetration		Sexual Touching	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Offender characteristics								
<i>Gender</i>								
Male	-	-	-	-	34.8	15.1	14.5	6.5
Female	-	-	100.0	0.0	70.4	15.4	91.4	4.5
Other gender identity	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Number of offenders</i>								
1 offender	-	-	100.0	0.0	83.6	10.8	73.8	7.3
2 offenders	-	-	-	-	s	s	16.7	6.0
3 or more offenders	-	-	-	-	-	-	9.5	5.1
<i>University affiliation</i>								
Student	-	-	s	s	62.1	15.7	83.8	6.4
Faculty or instructor	-	-	-	-	s	s	-	-
Coach or trainer	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Other staff or administrator	-	-	-	-	s	s	s	s
Other person affiliated with a university program (ex. internship, study abroad)	-	-	-	-	s	s	-	-
The person was not affiliated with university	-	-	-	-	-	-	12.8	6.0
Don't know association with university	-	-	s	s	s	s	s	s

Table 4.5b. Percent of Male Victims of Nonconsensual Penetration or Sexual Touching Involving Coercion or Absence of Affirmative Consent by Offender Characteristics by Behavior and Tactic^{1, 2} (continued)

Survey Item Response	Coercion				Absence of Affirmative Consent			
	Penetration		Sexual Touching		Penetration		Sexual Touching	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
<i>Relationship to victim</i>								
At the time, it was someone I was dating or intimate with	-	-	s	s	36.6	16.6	13.3	5.6
Someone I had dated or was intimate with	-	-	-	-	s	s	15.3	6.1
Teacher or advisor	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Co-worker, boss or supervisor	-	-	-	-	-	-	s	s
Friend or acquaintance	-	-	-	-	28.2	14.3	53.9	8.9
Stranger	-	-	-	-	s	s	39.0	7.2
Other	-	-	-	-	s	s	-	-
Don't Know	-	-	-	-	-	-	s	s

¹Per 100 victims.

²Unless otherwise indicated, estimates are for victimizations reported since entering college.

Table 4.6. Percent of Students Experiencing Nonconsensual Sexual Contact Involving Physical Force, Incapacitation, Coercion and Absence of Affirmative Consent Since Enrolling at University by Tactic and Gender¹

Survey Item Response	Total		Female (n=5,273)		Male (n=3,003)		TGQN (n=76)	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Completed using Physical Force or Incapacitation	13.2	0.3	21.2	0.5	4.5	0.4	16.5	3.6
Penetration	5.4	0.2	8.8	0.3	1.7	0.2	11.0	3.2
Sexual Touching	10.2	0.3	16.6	0.5	3.4	0.3	9.0	2.7
Completed using Physical Force or Incapacitation; Attempted Penetration using Physical Force	13.8	0.3	22.2	0.6	4.9	0.4	18.6	3.8
Penetration	6.5	0.2	10.6	0.4	2.1	0.3	14.6	3.4
Sexual Touching	10.2	0.3	16.6	0.5	3.4	0.3	9.0	2.7
Completed using Physical Force or Incapacitation or Coercion; Attempted Penetration using physical force	13.9	0.3	22.3	0.6	5.0	0.4	18.6	3.8
Penetration	6.6	0.2	10.7	0.4	2.1	0.3	14.6	3.4
Sexual Touching	10.3	0.3	16.7	0.5	3.5	0.3	9.0	2.7
Completed using Physical Force or Incapacitation or Coercion or Absence of Affirmative Consent; Attempted Penetration using physical force	16.3	0.4	25.8	0.6	5.9	0.5	29.8	5.3
Penetration	7.8	0.2	12.7	0.4	2.3	0.3	17.4	3.9
Sexual Touching	12.5	0.4	19.9	0.6	4.4	0.4	20.1	5.1

¹TGQN = Transgender woman, Transgender man, Genderqueer, gender non-conforming, questioning, not listed.

Table 4.7a. Percent of Undergraduates Experiencing Nonconsensual Sexual Contact Involving Physical Force, Incapacitation, Coercion and Absence of Affirmative Consent Since Enrolling at University by Tactic and Gender¹

Survey Item Response	Total		Female (n=4,001)		Male (n=2,189)		TGQN (n=59)	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Completed using Physical Force or Incapacitation	15.1	0.4	23.8	0.6	5.4	0.5	17.9	4.2
Penetration	6.2	0.2	9.8	0.4	2.0	0.3	13.7	4.0
Sexual Touching	11.9	0.4	18.9	0.6	4.2	0.4	8.6	2.9
Completed using Physical Force or Incapacitation; Attempted Penetration using Physical Force	15.8	0.4	24.8	0.7	5.7	0.5	20.7	4.4
Penetration	7.3	0.3	11.7	0.4	2.4	0.3	16.4	4.0
Sexual Touching	11.9	0.4	18.9	0.6	4.2	0.4	8.6	2.9
Completed using Physical Force or Incapacitation or Coercion; Attempted Penetration using physical force	15.9	0.4	24.9	0.7	5.8	0.6	20.7	4.4
Penetration	7.4	0.2	11.9	0.4	2.4	0.3	16.4	4.0
Sexual Touching	11.9	0.4	18.9	0.6	4.3	0.4	8.6	2.9
Completed using Physical Force or Incapacitation or Coercion or Absence of Affirmative Consent; Attempted Penetration using physical force	18.5	0.5	28.8	0.7	6.9	0.6	33.6	6.0
Penetration	8.7	0.3	14.0	0.5	2.6	0.3	19.1	4.6
Sexual Touching	14.4	0.4	22.5	0.7	5.3	0.5	21.5	5.8

¹TGQN = Transgender woman, Transgender man, Genderqueer, gender non-conforming, questioning, not listed.

Table 4.7b. Percent of Graduate and Professional Students Experiencing Nonconsensual Sexual Contact Involving Physical Force, Incapacitation, Coercion and Absence of Affirmative Consent Since Enrolling at University by Tactic and Gender¹

Survey Item Response	Total		Female (n=1,272)		Male (n=814)		TGQN (n=17)	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Completed using Physical Force or Incapacitation	6.3	0.5	11.3	1.0	1.8	0.4	s	s
Penetration	2.8	0.3	5.2	0.6	0.7	0.3	-	-
Sexual Touching	4.4	0.4	8.0	0.8	1.0	0.3	s	s
Completed using Physical Force or Incapacitation; Attempted Penetration using Physical Force	6.9	0.5	12.0	1.0	2.1	0.4	s	s
Penetration	3.5	0.4	6.1	0.6	1.1	0.4	s	s
Sexual Touching	4.4	0.4	8.0	0.8	1.0	0.3	s	s
Completed using Physical Force or Incapacitation or Coercion; Attempted Penetration using physical force	6.9	0.5	12.0	1.0	2.1	0.4	s	s
Penetration	3.5	0.4	6.1	0.6	1.1	0.4	s	s
Sexual Touching	4.4	0.4	8.1	0.8	1.0	0.3	s	s
Completed using Physical Force or Incapacitation or Coercion or Absence of Affirmative Consent; Attempted Penetration using physical force	8.3	0.6	14.3	1.1	2.7	0.5	14.6	8.1
Penetration	4.5	0.4	7.8	0.7	1.4	0.4	s	s
Sexual Touching	5.6	0.5	9.9	0.8	1.6	0.4	14.6	8.1

¹TGQN = Transgender woman, Transgender man, Genderqueer, gender non-conforming, questioning, not listed.

Table 4.8. Percent of Seniors Experiencing Nonconsensual Sexual Contact Involving Physical Force, Incapacitation, Coercion and Absence of Affirmative Consent Since Enrolling at University by Tactic and Gender¹

Survey Item Response	Total		Female (n=1,271)		Male (n=701)		TGQN (n=18)	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Completed using Physical Force or Incapacitation	17.8	0.8	28.0	1.3	6.6	0.8	17.6	7.4
Penetration	7.6	0.5	12.1	0.9	2.6	0.6	13.6	7.1
Sexual Touching	14.0	0.7	21.9	1.1	5.4	0.8	s	s
Completed using Physical Force or Incapacitation; Attempted Penetration using Physical Force	18.8	0.9	29.3	1.3	7.3	0.9	22.1	8.5
Penetration	9.3	0.6	14.5	1.0	3.3	0.6	18.1	8.2
Sexual Touching	14.0	0.7	21.9	1.1	5.4	0.8	s	s
Completed using Physical Force or Incapacitation or Coercion; Attempted Penetration using physical force	19.0	0.9	29.5	1.4	7.4	1.0	22.1	8.5
Penetration	9.4	0.6	14.7	1.0	3.3	0.6	18.1	8.2
Sexual Touching	14.1	0.8	22.0	1.2	5.5	0.8	s	s
Completed using Physical Force or Incapacitation or Coercion or Absence of Affirmative Consent; Attempted Penetration using physical force	22.5	0.9	34.2	1.3	9.4	1.1	36.5	13.1
Penetration	11.6	0.7	18.4	1.1	4.0	0.7	18.1	8.2
Sexual Touching	17.0	0.8	26.0	1.2	6.9	0.9	27.5	12.1

¹TGQN = Transgender woman, Transgender man, Genderqueer, gender non-conforming, questioning, not listed.

Table 4.9. Percent of Students Experiencing Nonconsensual Sexual Contact Involving Physical Force, Incapacitation, Coercion and Absence of Affirmative Consent for Current Year by Tactic and Gender¹

Survey Item Response	Total		Female (n=5,273)		Male (n=3,003)		TGQN (n=76)	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Completed using Physical Force or Incapacitation	7.5	0.2	11.7	0.4	2.9	0.3	12.7	3.5
Penetration	2.5	0.1	3.9	0.2	0.9	0.2	7.2	2.9
Sexual Touching	6.0	0.2	9.5	0.3	2.1	0.2	6.6	2.5
Completed using Physical Force or Incapacitation; Attempted Penetration using Physical Force	7.8	0.2	12.1	0.4	3.1	0.3	13.7	3.9
Penetration	3.0	0.1	4.7	0.3	1.2	0.2	9.6	3.2
Sexual Touching	6.0	0.2	9.5	0.3	2.1	0.2	6.6	2.5
Completed using Physical Force or Incapacitation or Coercion; Attempted Penetration using Physical Force	7.9	0.2	12.2	0.4	3.2	0.3	13.7	3.9
Penetration	3.1	0.1	4.7	0.3	1.2	0.2	9.6	3.2
Sexual Touching	6.0	0.2	9.5	0.3	2.2	0.2	6.6	2.5
Completed using Physical Force or Incapacitation or Coercion or Absence of Affirmative Consent; Attempted Penetration using Physical Force	9.6	0.3	14.8	0.4	3.9	0.3	22.3	4.9
Penetration	3.8	0.2	6.0	0.3	1.3	0.2	12.5	3.9
Sexual Touching	7.5	0.2	11.7	0.4	3.0	0.3	14.0	4.1

¹TGQN = Transgender woman, Transgender man, Genderqueer, gender non-conforming, questioning, not listed.

Table 4.10a. Percent of Undergraduates Experiencing Nonconsensual Sexual Contact Involving Physical Force, Incapacitation, Coercion and Absence of Affirmative Consent for Current Year by Tactic and Gender¹

Survey Item Response	Total		Female (n=4,001)		Male (n=2,189)		TGQN (n=59)	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Completed using Physical Force or Incapacitation	9.1	0.3	14.1	0.5	3.5	0.4	13.3	3.9
Penetration	3.1	0.2	4.7	0.3	1.2	0.2	9.0	3.5
Sexual Touching	7.3	0.3	11.5	0.4	2.6	0.3	5.7	2.6
Completed using Physical Force or Incapacitation; Attempted Penetration using Physical Force	9.4	0.3	14.6	0.5	3.7	0.4	14.5	4.4
Penetration	3.6	0.2	5.6	0.3	1.4	0.2	10.3	3.6
Sexual Touching	7.3	0.3	11.5	0.4	2.6	0.3	5.7	2.6
Completed using Physical Force or Incapacitation or Coercion; Attempted Penetration using Physical Force	9.5	0.3	14.6	0.5	3.8	0.4	14.5	4.4
Penetration	3.7	0.2	5.6	0.3	1.4	0.2	10.3	3.6
Sexual Touching	7.3	0.3	11.5	0.4	2.7	0.3	5.7	2.6
Completed using Physical Force or Incapacitation or Coercion or Absence of Affirmative Consent; Attempted Penetration using Physical Force	11.5	0.4	17.6	0.6	4.7	0.4	25.2	5.7
Penetration	4.5	0.2	7.0	0.4	1.5	0.2	13.0	4.4
Sexual Touching	9.1	0.3	14.0	0.5	3.6	0.4	14.9	4.8

¹TGQN = Transgender woman, Transgender man, Genderqueer, gender non-conforming, questioning, not listed.

Table 4.10b. Percent of Graduate and Professional Students Experiencing Nonconsensual Sexual Contact Involving Physical Force, Incapacitation, Coercion and Absence of Affirmative Consent for Current Year by Tactic and Gender¹

Survey Item Response	Total		Female (n=1,272)		Male (n=814)		TGQN (n=17)	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Completed using Physical Force or Incapacitation	1.7	0.2	2.5	0.4	0.9	0.3	s	s
Penetration	0.6	0.1	1.0	0.3	s	s	-	-
Sexual Touching	1.3	0.2	1.8	0.4	0.7	0.3	s	s
Completed using Physical Force or Incapacitation; Attempted Penetration using Physical Force	2.0	0.3	2.6	0.4	1.2	0.4	s	s
Penetration	0.9	0.2	1.1	0.3	0.5	0.3	s	s
Sexual Touching	1.3	0.2	1.8	0.4	0.7	0.3	s	s
Completed using Physical Force or Incapacitation or Coercion; Attempted Penetration using Physical Force	2.0	0.3	2.6	0.4	1.2	0.4	s	s
Penetration	0.9	0.2	1.1	0.3	0.5	0.3	s	s
Sexual Touching	1.3	0.2	1.8	0.4	0.7	0.3	s	s
Completed using Physical Force or Incapacitation or Coercion or Absence of Affirmative Consent; Attempted Penetration using Physical Force	2.7	0.3	3.8	0.5	1.6	0.4	s	s
Penetration	1.4	0.2	1.9	0.3	0.8	0.3	s	s
Sexual Touching	1.7	0.3	2.4	0.4	1.0	0.3	s	s

¹TGQN = Transgender woman, Transgender man, Genderqueer, gender non-conforming, questioning, not listed.

Table 4.11. Percent of Seniors Experiencing Nonconsensual Sexual Contact Involving Physical Force, Incapacitation, Coercion and Absence of Affirmative Consent for Current Year by Tactic and Gender¹

Survey Item Response	Total		Female (n=1,271)		Male (n=701)		TGQN (n=18)	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Completed using Physical Force or Incapacitation	7.2	0.5	10.6	0.8	3.4	0.7	s	s
Penetration	2.3	0.3	3.1	0.4	1.4	0.4	s	s
Sexual Touching	5.8	0.4	8.8	0.6	2.5	0.6	s	s
Completed using Physical Force or Incapacitation; Attempted Penetration using Physical Force	7.6	0.5	10.9	0.8	3.9	0.7	s	s
Penetration	2.9	0.3	3.8	0.5	1.8	0.5	s	s
Sexual Touching	5.8	0.4	8.8	0.6	2.5	0.6	s	s
Completed using Physical Force or Incapacitation or Coercion; Attempted Penetration using Physical Force	7.6	0.5	10.9	0.8	3.9	0.7	s	s
Penetration	2.9	0.3	3.8	0.5	1.8	0.5	s	s
Sexual Touching	5.8	0.4	8.8	0.6	2.5	0.6	s	s
Completed using Physical Force or Incapacitation or Coercion or Absence of Affirmative Consent; Attempted Penetration using Physical Force	9.7	0.6	14.0	0.8	4.8	0.7	20.7	9.1
Penetration	4.0	0.4	5.9	0.6	2.0	0.5	s	s
Sexual Touching	7.3	0.5	10.8	0.8	3.3	0.6	16.2	8.9

¹TGQN = Transgender woman, Transgender man, Genderqueer, gender non-conforming, questioning, not listed.

Table 5.1a. Percent of Students Experiencing Harassment by Type, Gender, Enrollment Status and Characteristics of Harassment^{1, 2}

Survey Item Response	Total		Female (n=5,273)				Male (n=3,003)			
			Undergraduate (n=4,001)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,272)		Undergraduate (n=2,189)		Graduate or Professional (n=814)	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Percent of Students Reporting Harassment	49.4	0.5	61.6	0.7	46.1	1.1	42.2	1.0	30.0	1.4
<i>University-associated individual:</i>										
made sexual remarks, or insulting/offensive jokes or stories	29.6	0.4	40.3	0.7	31.5	1.2	20.4	0.7	16.5	1.2
made inappropriate comments regarding body, appearance, or sexual activity	39.7	0.5	49.4	0.7	34.1	1.2	35.2	0.9	22.2	1.2
said crude or gross sexual things or tried to engage in sexual conversation	18.7	0.4	26.4	0.6	16.7	1.0	13.1	0.6	9.8	0.8
transmitted offensive sexual remarks, stories, jokes, pictures, videos	13.0	0.4	18.7	0.6	9.8	0.9	9.6	0.7	6.5	0.8
asked to go out, get dinner, drinks, or have sex, despite refusal	12.7	0.3	21.7	0.6	11.5	1.0	5.7	0.4	4.0	0.7
Percent of Victims of Harassment										
<i>Number of offenders</i>										
1 person	28.5	0.7	25.1	0.9	37.1	1.8	30.6	1.3	34.3	3.4
2 persons	27.2	0.6	29.5	0.7	28.6	1.6	22.4	1.0	29.6	3.3
3 or more persons	44.3	0.7	45.4	1.0	34.3	1.9	47.0	1.1	36.1	3.0

Table 5.1a. Percent of Students Experiencing Harassment by Type, Gender, Enrollment Status and Characteristics of Harassment^{1, 2} (continued)

Survey Item Response	Total		Female (n=5,273)				Male (n=3,003)			
			Undergraduate (n=4,001)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,272)		Undergraduate (n=2,189)		Graduate or Professional (n=814)	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
<i>Number of incidents since beginning of 2014 term</i>										
0 times	19.0	0.5	14.5	0.7	40.5	2.2	17.0	1.0	33.5	2.9
1 time	21.4	0.6	20.8	0.7	22.0	1.6	21.4	1.4	23.9	2.3
2 times	20.3	0.6	21.3	0.8	17.0	1.4	20.3	1.2	15.9	2.4
3-5 times	26.1	0.6	29.7	0.9	15.1	1.2	25.2	1.2	18.5	2.1
6-9 times	6.6	0.4	7.4	0.5	3.5	0.6	7.1	0.9	2.2	0.9
10 or more times	6.7	0.4	6.2	0.5	1.9	0.5	9.0	0.9	5.9	1.2
<i>Association with university</i>										
Student	92.0	0.4	94.8	0.4	81.0	1.7	92.3	0.7	84.8	2.3
Faculty	8.1	0.4	5.2	0.4	23.1	1.8	5.5	0.9	17.8	2.1
Coach, religious leader, or other non-academic advisor	0.3	0.1	0.4	0.1	s	s	s	s	s	s
Other staff or administrator	5.2	0.4	3.7	0.3	10.4	1.3	4.3	0.6	11.4	2.1
Other person affiliated with a university program (ex. internship, study abroad)	3.1	0.2	2.8	0.3	6.2	0.9	2.5	0.4	4.0	1.2
The person was not affiliated with [University]	9.5	0.4	9.9	0.6	10.8	1.1	8.1	0.9	10.2	1.7
Don't know association with [University]	10.4	0.5	11.0	0.6	7.8	1.1	11.0	1.2	7.5	1.6

Table 5.1a. Percent of Students Experiencing Harassment by Type, Gender, Enrollment Status and Characteristics of Harassment^{1, 2} (continued)

Survey Item Response	Total		Female (n=5,273)				Male (n=3,003)			
			Undergraduate (n=4,001)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,272)		Undergraduate (n=2,189)		Graduate or Professional (n=814)	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
<i>Relationship to victim</i>										
At the time, it was someone I was dating or intimate with	6.1	0.3	8.3	0.5	3.1	0.6	3.7	0.6	4.2	1.4
Someone I had dated or was intimate with	7.7	0.4	10.8	0.6	6.2	0.9	4.7	0.6	1.3	0.6
Teacher or advisor	5.5	0.3	4.1	0.3	17.0	1.5	2.7	0.6	10.7	1.7
Co-worker, boss or supervisor	11.4	0.5	9.9	0.6	19.3	1.5	8.8	0.9	22.7	2.7
Friend or acquaintance	66.8	0.7	66.0	0.9	59.7	2.0	71.5	1.4	62.1	2.9
Stranger	46.6	0.9	56.1	1.1	33.8	2.0	38.5	1.5	29.8	2.5
Other	6.2	0.3	4.8	0.4	11.1	1.0	5.9	0.6	8.6	1.8
Don't Know	3.3	0.2	2.9	0.3	2.3	0.7	4.0	0.6	4.6	1.2

Table 5.1a. Percent of Students Experiencing Harassment by Type, Gender, Enrollment Status and Characteristics of Harassment^{1, 2} (continued)

<i>Survey Item Response</i>	TGQN (n=76)			
	Undergraduate (n=59)		Graduate or Professional (n=17)	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
<i>Percent of Students Reporting Harassment</i>	81.1	5.0	67.8	10.5
<i>University-associated individual:</i>				
made sexual remarks, or insulting/offensive jokes or stories	66.5	6.4	58.1	11.6
made inappropriate comments regarding body, appearance, or sexual activity	70.7	5.4	63.7	10.9
said crude or gross sexual things or tried to engage in sexual conversation	35.2	6.6	35.4	12.6
transmitted offensive sexual remarks, stories, jokes, pictures, videos	14.7	3.9	11.8	6.4
asked to go out, get dinner, drinks, or have sex, despite refusal	14.8	3.9	s	s
<i>Percent of Victims of Harassment</i>				
<i>Number of offenders</i>				
1 person	14.3	6.0	s	s
2 persons	36.3	5.7	s	s
3 or more persons	49.4	7.9	73.2	14.5

Table 5.1a. Percent of Students Experiencing Harassment by Type, Gender, Enrollment Status and Characteristics of Harassment^{1, 2} (continued)

Survey Item Response	TGQN (n=76)			
	Undergraduate (n=59)		Graduate or Professional (n=17)	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
<i>Number of incidents since beginning of 2014 term</i>				
0 times	s	s	21.2	11.3
1 time	28.0	6.1	s	s
2 times	23.1	5.3	s	s
3-5 times	28.2	5.6	s	s
6-9 times	s	s	s	s
10 or more times	13.1	4.9	s	s
<i>Association with university</i>				
Student	95.2	3.0	80.3	13.1
Faculty	18.5	5.2	41.0	15.1
Coach, religious leader, or other non-academic advisor	-	-	-	-
Other staff or administrator	9.3	5.1	20.6	12.1
Other person affiliated with a university program (ex. internship, study abroad)	s	s	-	-
The person was not affiliated with [University]	18.5	5.3	-	-
Don't know association with [University]	11.1	3.9	-	-

Table 5.1a. Percent of Students Experiencing Harassment by Type, Gender, Enrollment Status and Characteristics of Harassment^{1, 2} (continued)

Survey Item Response	TGQN (n=76)			
	Undergraduate (n=59)		Graduate or Professional (n=17)	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
<i>Relationship to victim</i>				
At the time, it was someone I was dating or intimate with	10.5	4.3	-	-
Someone I had dated or was intimate with	7.8	3.2	-	-
Teacher or advisor	9.3	3.8	34.5	13.6
Co-worker, boss or supervisor	12.8	5.9	35.2	15.2
Friend or acquaintance	61.7	6.9	69.4	13.6
Stranger	60.3	10.1	37.5	19.6
Other	19.5	5.7	21.1	12.3
Don't Know	s	s	-	-

¹Unless otherwise indicated, estimates are for victimizations reported since entering college.

²TGQN = Transgender woman, Transgender man, Genderqueer, gender non-conforming, questioning, not listed.

Table 5.1b. Percent of Victims of Harassment Reporting to Others, Reporting to a Program, Timing of Report to Program, Usefulness of Report in last school year and Reasons Why Did Not Report to a Program by Gender and Enrollment Status^{1, 2}

Survey Item Response	Total		Female (n=5,273)				Male (n=3,003)			
			Undergraduate (n=4,001)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,272)		Undergraduate (n=2,189)		Graduate or Professional (n=814)	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Reporting to Others										
Friend	58.2	0.7	70.8	0.9	63.8	1.9	40.1	1.5	39.3	2.7
Family member	13.6	0.5	17.0	0.7	18.7	1.6	6.5	0.6	13.5	2.2
Faculty	3.4	0.2	3.6	0.4	6.9	0.9	1.4	0.3	5.1	1.1
Someone else	7.2	0.4	6.8	0.6	10.3	1.2	5.6	0.7	11.0	1.9
I didn't tell anyone else	37.4	0.7	26.0	0.9	28.4	1.7	55.6	1.5	51.6	2.8

Table 5.1b. Percent of Victims of Harassment Reporting to Others, Reporting to a Program, Timing of Report to Program, Usefulness of Report in last school year and Reasons Why Did Not Report to a Program by Gender and Enrollment Status^{1, 2} (continued)

Survey Item Response	Total		Female (n=5,273)				Male (n=3,003)			
			Undergraduate (n=4,001)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,272)		Undergraduate (n=2,189)		Graduate or Professional (n=814)	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Reporting to a Program										
Contacted at least one program in university list	8.3	0.4	9.9	0.5	7.8	0.9	5.8	0.8	7.5	1.8
Contacted MSU Police	37.5	2.5	35.3	2.8	29.0	6.6	48.9	8.4	37.2	10.5
Most recent contact										
Fall of 2014 - present	50.3	4.3	54.5	5.1	20.5	8.5	49.0	8.2	59.4	21.9
Fall of 2013 - Summer of 2014	22.5	3.7	25.6	3.5	s	s	23.0	8.8	s	s
Fall of 2012 - Summer of 2013	12.9	3.0	10.6	2.8	28.1	13.8	13.7	7.0	-	-
Prior to Fall of 2012	14.3	2.8	9.3	3.0	44.3	12.9	14.2	6.6	s	s
Usefulness of Report in 2014-2015 School Year										
Not at all	23.8	3.2	21.5	4.1	s	s	36.3	6.8	-	-
A little	22.7	3.5	23.1	3.6	s	s	24.6	9.8	s	s
Somewhat	26.8	3.7	23.3	3.7	35.8	14.3	32.0	8.9	s	s
Very	21.5	3.7	23.0	4.0	34.3	12.9	s	s	63.8	22.7
Extremely	5.2	1.9	9.2	3.2	-	-	-	-	-	-

Table 5.1b. Percent of Victims of Harassment Reporting to Others, Reporting to a Program, Timing of Report to Program, Usefulness of Report in last school year and Reasons Why Did Not Report to a Program by Gender and Enrollment Status^{1, 2} (continued)

Survey Item Response	Total		Female (n=5,273)				Male (n=3,003)			
			Undergraduate (n=4,001)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,272)		Undergraduate (n=2,189)		Graduate or Professional (n=814)	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Contacted Office for Inclusion (Title IX Coordinator's office)	19.8	2.1	17.5	2.2	23.3	5.3	16.9	4.8	44.1	12.3
Most recent contact										
Fall of 2014 - present	56.0	5.2	58.6	6.4	32.9	14.1	51.1	19.0	60.7	20.2
Fall of 2013 - Summer of 2014	28.6	5.0	32.5	6.5	29.2	14.7	s	s	s	s
Fall of 2012 - Summer of 2013	8.3	3.0	6.7	3.1	s	s	-	-	s	s
Prior to Fall of 2012	7.2	2.8	s	s	s	s	s	s	-	-
Usefulness of Report in 2014-2015 School Year										
Not at all	39.6	4.7	41.5	6.7	s	s	46.0	18.8	s	s
A little	14.5	3.5	22.6	5.8	s	s	-	-	-	-
Somewhat	25.8	6.4	19.8	6.8	s	s	42.6	17.5	46.8	21.1
Very	14.2	4.5	9.3	3.9	49.1	16.0	s	s	s	s
Extremely	5.9	2.8	6.7	4.5	s	s	-	-	s	s

Table 5.1b. Percent of Victims of Harassment Reporting to Others, Reporting to a Program, Timing of Report to Program, Usefulness of Report in last school year and Reasons Why Did Not Report to a Program by Gender and Enrollment Status^{1, 2} (continued)

Survey Item Response	Total		Female (n=5,273)				Male (n=3,003)			
			Undergraduate (n=4,001)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,272)		Undergraduate (n=2,189)		Graduate or Professional (n=814)	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Contacted East Lansing or Other Community Police Agency	7.8	1.1	9.4	1.5	12.4	4.6	4.5	2.4	-	-
Most recent contact										
Fall of 2014 - present	37.4	8.0	46.5	9.3	-	-	s	s	-	-
Fall of 2013 - Summer of 2014	24.6	7.8	30.1	10.0	s	s	-	-	-	-
Fall of 2012 - Summer of 2013	32.9	8.1	23.4	6.7	46.1	21.7	s	s	-	-
Prior to Fall of 2012	s	s	-	-	s	s	-	-	-	-
Usefulness of Report in 2014-2015 School Year										
Not at all	29.8	6.7	34.9	8.7	s	s	-	-	-	-
A little	16.5	6.2	11.6	6.1	54.6	21.9	-	-	-	-
Somewhat	29.7	7.6	23.1	8.1	-	-	100	0.0	-	-
Very	12.7	5.7	17.6	7.7	-	-	-	-	-	-
Extremely	11.4	4.8	12.9	6.3	s	s	-	-	-	-

Table 5.1b. Percent of Victims of Harassment Reporting to Others, Reporting to a Program, Timing of Report to Program, Usefulness of Report in last school year and Reasons Why Did Not Report to a Program by Gender and Enrollment Status^{1, 2} (continued)

Survey Item Response	Total		Female (n=5,273)				Male (n=3,003)			
			Undergraduate (n=4,001)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,272)		Undergraduate (n=2,189)		Graduate or Professional (n=814)	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Contacted Olin Student Health Services	28.0	2.2	25.3	2.7	32.6	6.3	34.7	7.3	24.9	11.6
Most recent contact										
Fall of 2014 - present	53.0	5.7	58.5	7.5	51.2	13.6	47.7	13.9	s	s
Fall of 2013 - Summer of 2014	22.9	4.3	20.7	5.0	23.4	10.6	27.5	12.4	-	-
Fall of 2012 - Summer of 2013	16.9	4.2	17.7	5.1	s	s	s	s	s	s
Prior to Fall of 2012	7.2	2.9	s	s	s	s	s	s	s	s
Usefulness of Report in 2014-2015 School Year										
Not at all	11.7	3.7	8.5	4.4	s	s	23.5	11.4	-	-
A little	13.3	3.0	18.4	4.1	17.1	9.1	s	s	-	-
Somewhat	26.2	4.0	25.3	5.4	28.6	12.1	28.3	10.8	-	-
Very	33.2	4.6	32.0	6.0	42.5	13.9	23.0	10.5	88.4	12.5
Extremely	15.5	3.6	15.9	4.4	s	s	20.1	10.8	s	s

Table 5.1b. Percent of Victims of Harassment Reporting to Others, Reporting to a Program, Timing of Report to Program, Usefulness of Report in last school year and Reasons Why Did Not Report to a Program by Gender and Enrollment Status^{1, 2} (continued)

Survey Item Response	Total		Female (n=5,273)				Male (n=3,003)			
			Undergraduate (n=4,001)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,272)		Undergraduate (n=2,189)		Graduate or Professional (n=814)	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Contacted MSU Counseling Center	39.4	2.6	45.2	3.2	50.1	6.6	24.8	5.5	s	s
Most recent contact										
Fall of 2014 - present	49.4	3.7	50.2	4.2	s	s	68.1	13.7	s	s
Fall of 2013 - Summer of 2014	28.8	3.5	28.9	4.4	36.3	11.0	24.7	12.7	-	-
Fall of 2012 - Summer of 2013	14.7	2.4	15.2	2.5	26.8	10.4	s	s	-	-
Prior to Fall of 2012	7.1	1.7	5.8	1.9	27.0	9.7	-	-	-	-
Usefulness of Report in 2014-2015 School Year										
Not at all	15.2	3.7	11.2	3.0	10.1	5.3	36.9	15.1	-	-
A little	22.8	3.1	22.4	3.6	22.6	8.6	27.4	13.7	-	-
Somewhat	16.6	2.8	17.5	3.1	22.8	8.9	s	s	-	-
Very	29.2	3.4	33.2	3.8	26.8	8.6	s	s	s	s
Extremely	16.2	2.5	15.6	3.1	17.8	9.1	s	s	s	s

Table 5.1b. Percent of Victims of Harassment Reporting to Others, Reporting to a Program, Timing of Report to Program, Usefulness of Report in last school year and Reasons Why Did Not Report to a Program by Gender and Enrollment Status^{1, 2} (continued)

Survey Item Response	Total		Female (n=5,273)				Male (n=3,003)			
			Undergraduate (n=4,001)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,272)		Undergraduate (n=2,189)		Graduate or Professional (n=814)	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Contacted SAFE Place	5.5	0.9	7.0	1.4	9.8	3.8	s	s	-	-
Most recent contact										
Fall of 2014 - present	58.6	10.7	55.8	12.8	57.6	25.6	s	s	-	-
Fall of 2013 - Summer of 2014	16.1	7.2	16.7	8.7	s	s	-	-	-	-
Fall of 2012 - Summer of 2013	25.3	9.8	27.5	12.0	s	s	-	-	-	-
Prior to Fall of 2012	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Usefulness of Report in 2014-2015 School Year										
Not at all	12.3	6.2	s	s	s	s	s	s	-	-
A little	12.2	5.7	s	s	s	s	-	-	-	-
Somewhat	24.5	10.6	31.8	13.1	-	-	-	-	-	-
Very	19.3	7.6	16.2	8.5	s	s	-	-	-	-
Extremely	31.6	9.4	36.4	11.8	s	s	-	-	-	-

Table 5.1b. Percent of Victims of Harassment Reporting to Others, Reporting to a Program, Timing of Report to Program, Usefulness of Report in last school year and Reasons Why Did Not Report to a Program by Gender and Enrollment Status^{1, 2} (continued)

Survey Item Response	Total		Female (n=5,273)				Male (n=3,003)			
			Undergraduate (n=4,001)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,272)		Undergraduate (n=2,189)		Graduate or Professional (n=814)	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Contacted MSU Sexual Assault Program or 24/7 MSU Sexual Assault Hotline	18.5	2.0	24.0	2.7	21.3	6.6	7.8	3.4	s	s
Most recent contact										
Fall of 2014 - present	55.4	6.9	55.2	7.8	36.2	19.2	70.8	28.3	s	s
Fall of 2013 - Summer of 2014	17.6	4.6	22.4	5.7	-	-	-	-	-	-
Fall of 2012 - Summer of 2013	16.6	4.7	14.8	4.7	s	s	s	s	-	-
Prior to Fall of 2012	10.4	3.0	7.6	2.9	41.9	19.7	-	-	-	-
Usefulness of Report in 2014-2015 School Year										
Not at all	10.5	4.2	4.2	2.2	-	-	76.9	24.6	-	-
A little	14.9	3.9	18.9	5.1	-	-	-	-	-	-
Somewhat	16.1	3.4	17.0	4.1	s	s	-	-	-	-
Very	20.0	4.9	21.6	5.9	s	s	-	-	-	-
Extremely	38.6	5.2	38.2	6.1	45.6	19.4	s	s	s	s

Table 5.1b. Percent of Victims of Harassment Reporting to Others, Reporting to a Program, Timing of Report to Program, Usefulness of Report in last school year and Reasons Why Did Not Report to a Program by Gender and Enrollment Status^{1, 2} (continued)

Survey Item Response	Total		Female (n=5,273)				Male (n=3,003)			
			Undergraduate (n=4,001)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,272)		Undergraduate (n=2,189)		Graduate or Professional (n=814)	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Contacted Residence Education and Housing Services	18.6	2.3	18.1	2.5	13.4	5.0	17.5	4.6	32.6	14.2
Most recent contact										
Fall of 2014 - present	59.1	5.5	59.8	7.4	s	s	46.4	17.8	100	0.0
Fall of 2013 - Summer of 2014	23.0	4.8	21.4	6.4	-	-	44.0	17.5	-	-
Fall of 2012 - Summer of 2013	12.3	3.5	14.2	4.5	56.3	21.6	-	-	-	-
Prior to Fall of 2012	5.6	2.7	s	s	s	s	s	s	-	-
Usefulness of Report in 2014-2015 School Year										
Not at all	13.8	3.9	16.9	5.2	-	-	s	s	-	-
A little	26.5	4.9	20.1	6.4	64.9	21.3	29.2	15.1	s	s
Somewhat	20.8	4.6	22.8	5.6	-	-	s	s	s	s
Very	21.9	4.9	27.2	6.8	s	s	s	s	s	s
Extremely	16.9	4.3	12.9	5.1	s	s	33.3	15.7	-	-

Table 5.1b. Percent of Victims of Harassment Reporting to Others, Reporting to a Program, Timing of Report to Program, Usefulness of Report in last school year and Reasons Why Did Not Report to a Program by Gender and Enrollment Status^{1, 2} (continued)

Survey Item Response	Total		Female (n=5,273)				Male (n=3,003)			
			Undergraduate (n=4,001)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,272)		Undergraduate (n=2,189)		Graduate or Professional (n=814)	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Contacted MSU Women's Resource Center	4.7	0.9	5.9	1.2	5.8	2.9	-	-	s	s
Most recent contact										
Fall of 2014 - present	45.0	10.3	53.5	12.0	s	s	-	-	-	-
Fall of 2013 - Summer of 2014	31.0	10.9	24.1	12.6	s	s	-	-	s	s
Fall of 2012 - Summer of 2013	20.0	8.6	16.9	8.4	-	-	-	-	-	-
Prior to Fall of 2012	s	s	s	s	-	-	-	-	-	-
Usefulness of Report in 2014-2015 School Year										
Not at all	19.5	9.3	s	s	s	s	-	-	-	-
A little	s	s	s	s	-	-	-	-	s	s
Somewhat	19.3	8.8	s	s	s	s	-	-	-	-
Very	24.1	8.9	31.8	11.1	-	-	-	-	-	-
Extremely	25.1	8.9	33.2	11.1	-	-	-	-	-	-

Table 5.1b. Percent of Victims of Harassment Reporting to Others, Reporting to a Program, Timing of Report to Program, Usefulness of Report in last school year and Reasons Why Did Not Report to a Program by Gender and Enrollment Status^{1, 2} (continued)

Survey Item Response	Total		Female (n=5,273)				Male (n=3,003)			
			Undergraduate (n=4,001)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,272)		Undergraduate (n=2,189)		Graduate or Professional (n=814)	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Did not contact any programs										
Reason										
Did not know where to go or who to tell	5.7	0.3	6.7	0.5	8.0	1.2	3.7	0.5	3.9	1.2
Felt embarrassed, ashamed, or that it would be too emotionally difficult	4.9	0.3	6.1	0.4	6.1	0.9	2.8	0.4	3.2	1.1
I did not think anyone would believe me	2.1	0.2	2.7	0.3	3.7	0.7	0.8	0.3	s	s
I did not think it was serious enough to report	76.2	0.6	79.2	0.8	74.2	1.8	74.1	1.2	68.4	3.2
I did not want the person to get into trouble	10.2	0.5	10.2	0.7	13.7	1.5	8.4	0.9	14.8	2.5
I feared negative social consequences	8.5	0.4	9.0	0.6	14.9	1.4	4.6	0.7	11.5	1.8
I did not think anything would be done	16.4	0.5	18.4	0.6	23.0	1.3	10.4	0.9	15.5	2.1
I feared it would not be kept confidential	5.6	0.3	5.2	0.4	12.1	1.2	2.9	0.4	9.9	1.9
Incident was not on campus or associated with the school	10.7	0.4	12.8	0.5	12.3	1.3	7.9	0.8	6.9	1.4
Incident did not occur while attending school	3.3	0.3	3.5	0.4	3.5	0.8	3.2	0.6	2.7	1.2
Other	17.6	0.6	14.9	0.6	14.8	1.3	21.9	1.3	21.5	2.6

¹ Per 100 victims.

² Unless otherwise specified, estimates are for victimizations reported since entering college.

Table 5.2a. Percent of Students in Partnered Relationships Experiencing Intimate Partner Violence by Type, Gender, Enrollment Status and Characteristics of Harassment^{1, 2, 3}

Survey Item Response	Total		Female (n=5,273)				Male (n=3,003)			
			Undergraduate (n=4,001)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,272)		Undergraduate (n=2,189)		Graduate or Professional (n=814)	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Percent of Students in Partnered Relationships Reporting Intimate Partner Violence	11.5	0.4	14.1	0.6	9.2	0.9	10.2	0.7	6.6	0.8
Partner controlled or tried to control	7.2	0.3	9.0	0.5	6.1	0.7	6.4	0.6	3.5	0.6
Partner threatened to harm student, family, or themselves	4.8	0.3	5.8	0.4	4.3	0.6	4.3	0.5	2.7	0.6
Partner used physical force	4.8	0.3	5.9	0.4	3.8	0.6	3.9	0.5	3.3	0.7
Percent of Victims of Intimate Partner Violence										
<i>Number of offenders</i>										
1 person	87.1	1.2	87.8	1.5	88.7	2.9	87.4	2.4	81.9	6.1
2 persons	10.8	1.0	11.3	1.5	10.0	2.5	8.9	2.0	14.2	5.5
3 or more persons	2.2	0.6	0.9	0.4	s	s	3.7	1.3	s	s
<i>Number of incidents since beginning of 2014 term</i>										
0 times	40.5	1.5	39.0	2.2	53.4	4.1	40.1	3.4	44.5	7.2
1 time	23.7	1.6	24.4	2.2	12.4	3.2	23.3	3.5	32.7	7.5
2 times	15.3	1.4	16.9	1.7	10.1	3.1	14.4	2.6	10.2	3.9
3-5 times	13.6	1.1	13.5	1.5	12.5	3.4	15.0	3.0	12.6	4.6
6-9 times	2.9	0.5	3.0	0.7	4.7	1.9	2.5	1.1	-	-
10 or more times	4.0	0.7	3.1	0.7	6.9	2.1	4.8	1.5	-	-

Table 5.2a. Percent of Students in Partnered Relationships Experiencing Intimate Partner Violence by Type, Gender, Enrollment Status and Characteristics of Harassment^{1, 2, 3}(continued)

Survey Item Response	TGQN (n=76)			
	Undergraduate (n=59)		Graduate or Professional (n=17)	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Percent of Students in Partnered Relationships Reporting Intimate Partner Violence	24.5	5.8	28.3	11.8
Partner controlled or tried to control	18.1	4.7	s	s
Partner threatened to harm student, family, or themselves	10.0	4.7	20.3	10.1
Partner used physical force	12.0	4.7	28.3	11.8
Percent of Victims of Intimate Partner Violence				
<i>Number of offenders</i>				
1 person	70.2	14.9	75.3	23.2
2 persons	s	s	s	s
3 or more persons	s	s	-	-
<i>Number of incidents since beginning of 2014 term</i>				
0 times	s	s	s	s
1 time	27.7	14.1	s	s
2 times	33.7	16.5	s	s
3-5 times	-	-	-	-
6-9 times	s	s	-	-
10 or more times	s	s	s	s

¹Unless otherwise indicated, estimates are for victimizations reported since entering college.

²Percent of students who reported being in a partnered relationship since entering college (question A13 on questionnaire).

³TGQN = Transgender woman, Transgender man, Genderqueer, gender non-conforming, questioning, not listed.

Table 5.2b. Percent of Victims of Intimate Partner Violence Reporting to Others, Reporting to a Program, Timing of Report to Program, Usefulness of Report in last school year and Reasons Why Did Not Report to a Program by Gender and Enrollment Status^{1, 2}

Survey Item Response	Total		Female (n=5,273)				Male (n=3,003)			
			Undergraduate (n=4,001)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,272)		Undergraduate (n=2,189)		Graduate or Professional (n=814)	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Reporting to Others										
Friend	67.1	1.6	73.7	1.7	70.8	4.3	60.8	3.4	42.4	7.2
Family member	26.5	1.3	26.5	1.7	37.0	4.4	24.5	3.4	23.5	6.1
Faculty	3.9	0.7	3.6	0.9	9.6	3.4	2.4	1.3	-	-
Someone else	7.9	0.9	7.8	1.2	9.5	3.0	6.4	1.7	13.1	4.8
I didn't tell anyone else	26.2	1.7	22.2	1.8	20.6	3.7	30.1	3.2	45.8	7.2

Table 5.2b. Percent of Victims of Intimate Partner Violence Reporting to Others, Reporting to a Program, Timing of Report to Program, Usefulness of Report in last school year and Reasons Why Did Not Report to a Program by Gender and Enrollment Status^{1, 2} (continued)

Survey Item Response	Total		Female (n=5,273)				Male (n=3,003)			
			Undergraduate (n=4,001)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,272)		Undergraduate (n=2,189)		Graduate or Professional (n=814)	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Reporting to a Program										
Contacted at least one program in university list	15.8	1.3	18.6	1.5	22.9	4.2	9.8	2.6	12.7	5.3
Contacted MSU Police	29.6	4.5	27.4	4.5	19.8	8.7	45.9	15.9	s	s
Most recent contact										
Fall of 2014 - present	53.0	7.4	46.2	10.5	s	s	82.0	17.5	-	-
Fall of 2013 - Summer of 2014	28.0	6.8	29.1	9.8	s	s	s	s	s	s
Fall of 2012 - Summer of 2013	s	s	s	s	-	-	-	-	-	-
Prior to Fall of 2012	16.1	5.9	19.6	8.9	s	s	-	-	-	-
Usefulness of Report in 2014-2015 School Year										
Not at all	38.4	11.3	37.6	11.1	s	s	51.3	36.3	-	-
A little	12.7	6.6	s	s	s	s	-	-	s	s
Somewhat	19.7	8.2	16.2	8.7	-	-	s	s	-	-
Very	14.2	4.6	19.4	7.1	s	s	-	-	-	-
Extremely	15.0	6.7	19.6	9.8	-	-	s	s	-	-

Table 5.2b. Percent of Victims of Intimate Partner Violence Reporting to Others, Reporting to a Program, Timing of Report to Program, Usefulness of Report in last school year and Reasons Why Did Not Report to a Program by Gender and Enrollment Status^{1, 2} (continued)

Survey Item Response	Total		Female (n=5,273)				Male (n=3,003)			
			Undergraduate (n=4,001)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,272)		Undergraduate (n=2,189)		Graduate or Professional (n=814)	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Contacted Office for Inclusion (Title IX Coordinator's office)	9.4	2.9	7.0	2.9	s	s	s	s	s	s
Most recent contact										
Fall of 2014 - present	58.9	18.5	s	s	-	-	s	s	s	s
Fall of 2013 - Summer of 2014	36.1	17.6	58.6	27.8	s	s	-	-	-	-
Fall of 2012 - Summer of 2013	s	s	-	-	s	s	-	-	-	-
Prior to Fall of 2012	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Usefulness of Report in 2014-2015 School Year										
Not at all	37.7	18.7	47.2	27.0	-	-	-	-	s	s
A little	s	s	s	s	s	s	-	-	-	-
Somewhat	s	s	-	-	-	-	s	s	-	-
Very	s	s	-	-	s	s	-	-	-	-
Extremely	s	s	s	s	-	-	-	-	-	-

Table 5.2b. Percent of Victims of Intimate Partner Violence Reporting to Others, Reporting to a Program, Timing of Report to Program, Usefulness of Report in last school year and Reasons Why Did Not Report to a Program by Gender and Enrollment Status^{1, 2} (continued)

Survey Item Response	Total		Female (n=5,273)				Male (n=3,003)			
			Undergraduate (n=4,001)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,272)		Undergraduate (n=2,189)		Graduate or Professional (n=814)	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Contacted East Lansing or Other Community Police Agency	17.7	3.6	18.4	4.1	18.2	8.7	s	s	-	-
Most recent contact										
Fall of 2014 - present	32.3	12.9	31.2	13.8	-	-	s	s	-	-
Fall of 2013 - Summer of 2014	20.8	8.2	33.0	11.5	-	-	-	-	-	-
Fall of 2012 - Summer of 2013	16.8	9.0	s	s	s	s	-	-	-	-
Prior to Fall of 2012	30.1	11.7	17.1	9.4	s	s	s	s	-	-
Usefulness of Report in 2014-2015 School Year										
Not at all	17.2	7.8	27.3	11.4	-	-	-	-	-	-
A little	s	s	s	s	s	s	-	-	-	-
Somewhat	39.3	11.6	29.2	11.9	s	s	s	s	-	-
Very	17.3	9.2	s	s	s	s	-	-	-	-
Extremely	11.4	7.7	18.1	12.1	-	-	-	-	-	-

Table 5.2b. Percent of Victims of Intimate Partner Violence Reporting to Others, Reporting to a Program, Timing of Report to Program, Usefulness of Report in last school year and Reasons Why Did Not Report to a Program by Gender and Enrollment Status^{1, 2} (continued)

Survey Item Response	Total		Female (n=5,273)				Male (n=3,003)			
			Undergraduate (n=4,001)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,272)		Undergraduate (n=2,189)		Graduate or Professional (n=814)	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Contacted Olin Student Health Services	17.2	3.3	16.5	4.1	s	s	27.9	13.8	s	s
Most recent contact										
Fall of 2014 - present	45.7	13.0	42.0	14.6	-	-	s	s	-	-
Fall of 2013 - Summer of 2014	24.4	9.8	27.2	11.2	-	-	s	s	-	-
Fall of 2012 - Summer of 2013	s	s	s	s	-	-	-	-	-	-
Prior to Fall of 2012	20.2	9.3	s	s	s	s	-	-	s	s
Usefulness of Report in 2014-2015 School Year										
Not at all	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
A little	42.6	11.7	s	s	s	s	77.7	25.6	s	s
Somewhat	20.3	9.1	22.8	11.6	-	-	s	s	-	-
Very	32.9	10.3	56.4	14.5	-	-	-	-	-	-
Extremely	s	s	s	s	-	-	-	-	-	-

Table 5.2b. Percent of Victims of Intimate Partner Violence Reporting to Others, Reporting to a Program, Timing of Report to Program, Usefulness of Report in last school year and Reasons Why Did Not Report to a Program by Gender and Enrollment Status^{1, 2} (continued)

Survey Item Response	Total		Female (n=5,273)				Male (n=3,003)			
			Undergraduate (n=4,001)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,272)		Undergraduate (n=2,189)		Graduate or Professional (n=814)	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Contacted MSU Counseling Center	44.1	4.6	41.1	5.1	58.6	9.3	42.1	14.4	52.7	27.9
Most recent contact										
Fall of 2014 - present	40.2	6.7	38.7	7.7	s	s	66.5	23.7	s	s
Fall of 2013 - Summer of 2014	36.6	7.5	38.8	7.6	48.4	14.9	s	s	-	-
Fall of 2012 - Summer of 2013	8.4	3.2	9.3	4.6	s	s	-	-	-	-
Prior to Fall of 2012	14.9	5.8	13.1	7.1	25.3	13.3	-	-	s	s
Usefulness of Report in 2014-2015 School Year										
Not at all	18.3	5.5	14.4	5.4	s	s	s	s	-	-
A little	13.8	4.4	16.8	6.6	s	s	-	-	s	s
Somewhat	28.5	6.2	24.7	7.6	35.7	14.3	s	s	-	-
Very	21.0	4.7	23.1	6.8	25.5	12.3	s	s	-	-
Extremely	18.4	6.5	20.9	9.3	s	s	-	-	s	s

Table 5.2b. Percent of Victims of Intimate Partner Violence Reporting to Others, Reporting to a Program, Timing of Report to Program, Usefulness of Report in last school year and Reasons Why Did Not Report to a Program by Gender and Enrollment Status^{1, 2} (continued)

Survey Item Response	Total		Female (n=5,273)				Male (n=3,003)			
			Undergraduate (n=4,001)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,272)		Undergraduate (n=2,189)		Graduate or Professional (n=814)	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Contacted SAFE Place	11.6	2.4	12.3	3.0	22.5	8.5	s	s	-	-
Most recent contact										
Fall of 2014 - present	38.7	11.7	54.4	15.2	s	s	-	-	-	-
Fall of 2013 - Summer of 2014	30.4	11.6	s	s	s	s	s	s	-	-
Fall of 2012 - Summer of 2013	21.2	10.3	s	s	s	s	-	-	-	-
Prior to Fall of 2012	s	s	s	s	s	s	-	-	-	-
Usefulness of Report in 2014-2015 School Year										
Not at all	26.6	11.6	s	s	s	s	s	s	-	-
A little	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Somewhat	s	s	s	s	-	-	-	-	-	-
Very	s	s	s	s	-	-	-	-	-	-
Extremely	57.1	12.1	56.3	14.5	82.9	17.8	-	-	-	-

Table 5.2b. Percent of Victims of Intimate Partner Violence Reporting to Others, Reporting to a Program, Timing of Report to Program, Usefulness of Report in last school year and Reasons Why Did Not Report to a Program by Gender and Enrollment Status^{1, 2} (continued)

Survey Item Response	Total		Female (n=5,273)				Male (n=3,003)			
			Undergraduate (n=4,001)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,272)		Undergraduate (n=2,189)		Graduate or Professional (n=814)	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Contacted MSU Sexual Assault Program or 24/7 MSU Sexual Assault Hotline	11.2	2.7	14.3	3.7	s	s	s	s	-	-
Most recent contact										
Fall of 2014 - present	47.3	14.8	39.6	16.1	s	s	s	s	-	-
Fall of 2013 - Summer of 2014	30.1	10.3	38.7	13.4	-	-	-	-	-	-
Fall of 2012 - Summer of 2013	s	s	s	s	s	s	-	-	-	-
Prior to Fall of 2012	s	s	s	s	-	-	-	-	-	-
Usefulness of Report in 2014-2015 School Year										
Not at all	s	s	s	s	-	-	-	-	-	-
A little	s	s	s	s	-	-	-	-	-	-
Somewhat	s	s	s	s	-	-	-	-	-	-
Very	24.6	10.3	22.4	10.9	s	s	-	-	-	-
Extremely	54.9	13.1	52.7	14.2	-	-	s	s	-	-

Table 5.2b. Percent of Victims of Intimate Partner Violence Reporting to Others, Reporting to a Program, Timing of Report to Program, Usefulness of Report in last school year and Reasons Why Did Not Report to a Program by Gender and Enrollment Status^{1, 2} (continued)

Survey Item Response	Total		Female (n=5,273)				Male (n=3,003)			
			Undergraduate (n=4,001)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,272)		Undergraduate (n=2,189)		Graduate or Professional (n=814)	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Contacted Residence Education and Housing Services	6.6	2.6	4.2	2.2	s	s	17.8	9.0	-	-
Most recent contact										
Fall of 2014 - present	78.8	16.8	s	s	-	-	100	0.0	-	-
Fall of 2013 - Summer of 2014	s	s	s	s	-	-	-	-	-	-
Fall of 2012 - Summer of 2013	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Prior to Fall of 2012	s	s	-	-	s	s	-	-	-	-
Usefulness of Report in 2014-2015 School Year										
Not at all	s	s	s	s	-	-	-	-	-	-
A little	s	s	-	-	s	s	-	-	-	-
Somewhat	s	s	-	-	-	-	s	s	-	-
Very	s	s	s	s	-	-	-	-	-	-
Extremely	47.9	16.0	s	s	-	-	s	s	-	-

Table 5.2b. Percent of Victims of Intimate Partner Violence Reporting to Others, Reporting to a Program, Timing of Report to Program, Usefulness of Report in last school year and Reasons Why Did Not Report to a Program by Gender and Enrollment Status^{1, 2} (continued)

Survey Item Response	Total		Female (n=5,273)				Male (n=3,003)			
			Undergraduate (n=4,001)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,272)		Undergraduate (n=2,189)		Graduate or Professional (n=814)	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Contacted MSU Women’s Resource Center	2.1	1.1	3.4	1.7	-	-	-	-	-	-
Most recent contact										
Fall of 2014 - present	71.2	33.0	s	s	-	-	-	-	-	-
Fall of 2013 - Summer of 2014	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Fall of 2012 - Summer of 2013	28.8	33.0	s	s	-	-	-	-	-	-
Prior to Fall of 2012	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Usefulness of Report in 2014-2015 School Year										
Not at all	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
A little	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Somewhat	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Very	28.8	33.0	s	s	-	-	-	-	-	-
Extremely	71.2	33.0	s	s	-	-	-	-	-	-

Table 5.2b. Percent of Victims of Intimate Partner Violence Reporting to Others, Reporting to a Program, Timing of Report to Program, Usefulness of Report in last school year and Reasons Why Did Not Report to a Program by Gender and Enrollment Status^{1, 2} (continued)

Survey Item Response	Total		Female (n=5,273)				Male (n=3,003)			
			Undergraduate (n=4,001)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,272)		Undergraduate (n=2,189)		Graduate or Professional (n=814)	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Did not contact any programs										
Reason										
Did not know where to go or who to tell	6.0	0.8	7.6	1.1	5.5	2.5	4.9	1.5	-	-
Felt embarrassed, ashamed, or that it would be too emotionally difficult	15.7	1.3	20.6	2.1	13.3	3.6	9.7	1.9	10.8	4.3
I did not think anyone would believe me	7.0	1.0	7.4	1.5	s	s	7.9	2.1	-	-
I did not think it was serious enough to report	61.5	2.0	62.3	2.3	50.0	6.0	63.3	4.3	73.5	5.4
I did not want the person to get into trouble	21.3	1.7	23.9	2.0	19.3	4.5	18.7	3.2	16.7	5.6
I feared negative social consequences	11.7	1.1	14.0	1.6	13.7	3.9	9.7	2.5	s	s
I did not think anything would be done	15.0	1.3	17.3	1.6	16.0	4.0	12.6	2.6	-	-
I feared it would not be kept confidential	7.6	0.9	8.7	1.4	8.6	3.3	4.9	1.5	s	s
Incident was not on campus or associated with the school	23.6	1.5	23.0	2.0	34.6	5.9	17.6	2.7	46.2	7.0
Incident did not occur while attending school	4.1	0.8	3.7	0.8	8.0	2.8	3.8	1.4	s	s
Other	18.8	1.4	16.4	1.6	18.4	4.6	21.9	3.2	20.8	5.8

¹ Per 100 victims.

² Unless otherwise specified, estimates are for victimizations reported since entering college.

Table 5.3a. Percent of Students Experiencing Stalking by Type, Gender, Enrollment Status and Characteristics of Stalking^{1, 2}

Survey Item Response	Total		Female (n=5,273)				Male (n=3,003)			
			Undergraduate (n=4,001)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,272)		Undergraduate (n=2,189)		Graduate or Professional (n=814)	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Percent of Students Reporting Stalking	4.9	0.2	7.8	0.4	5.7	0.6	2.1	0.3	2.3	0.5
Unwanted calls, emails, messages, pictures, video on social networking that caused fear for personal safety	2.5	0.2	3.9	0.3	3.2	0.3	0.9	0.2	2.0	0.4
Showed up somewhere or waited for student in manner that caused fear for personal safety	2.1	0.1	3.5	0.3	3.1	0.5	0.7	0.2	s	s
Spied on, watched, or followed in manner that caused fear for personal safety	1.6	0.1	2.4	0.2	2.0	0.4	0.7	0.2	0.5	0.2
Percent of Victims of Stalking										
<i>Number of incidents since beginning of 2014 term</i>										
0 times	28.8	1.6	30.2	1.9	46.0	6.4	19.4	5.6	s	s
1 time	13.6	1.3	13.6	1.5	10.0	3.5	11.8	5.4	33.4	10.9
2 times	22.8	1.7	22.4	2.1	16.7	5.9	30.2	6.5	26.2	10.5
3-5 times	20.6	1.8	20.4	2.2	17.3	5.4	19.0	5.6	28.0	11.2
6-9 times	5.7	0.9	7.6	1.2	s	s	s	s	-	-
10 or more times	8.5	1.3	6.0	1.1	8.7	3.6	16.3	5.6	s	s
<i>Association with university</i>										
Student	69.1	1.9	74.5	2.4	51.0	7.0	70.6	7.9	48.9	12.5
Faculty	4.7	1.0	4.2	1.1	s	s	s	s	20.9	10.6
Coach, religious leader, or other non-academic advisor	s	s	s	s	-	-	-	-	s	s
Other staff or administrator	3.9	1.1	0.9	0.5	7.5	3.0	9.8	4.4	s	s
Other person affiliated with a university program (ex. internship, study abroad)	2.1	0.8	s	s	s	s	s	s	s	s
The person was not affiliated with university	11.5	1.6	8.1	1.6	14.6	4.0	15.7	6.2	32.7	11.5
Don't know association with university	25.7	1.7	24.5	2.1	36.7	5.8	16.0	5.0	34.4	12.8

Table 5.3a. Percent of Students Experiencing Stalking by Type, Gender, Enrollment Status and Characteristics of Stalking^{1, 2} (continued)

Survey Item Response	Total		Female (n=5,273)				Male (n=3,003)			
			Undergraduate (n=4,001)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,272)		Undergraduate (n=2,189)		Graduate or Professional (n=814)	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
<i>Relationship to victim</i>										
At the time, it was someone I was dating or intimate with	9.3	1.3	10.1	2.0	11.0	3.5	s	s	s	s
Someone I had dated or was intimate with	20.3	2.5	20.9	2.7	26.5	5.0	18.8	6.7	s	s
Teacher or advisor	2.5	0.8	1.6	0.7	s	s	s	s	s	s
Co-worker, boss or supervisor	4.1	1.0	2.0	0.7	7.3	3.0	s	s	19.3	10.3
Friend or acquaintance	39.9	2.3	44.5	2.5	26.3	4.7	41.2	8.0	17.4	9.4
Stranger	34.8	2.7	32.8	2.7	33.8	5.1	33.5	8.6	60.3	11.4
Other	11.8	1.3	9.4	1.5	13.8	4.1	20.6	6.3	s	s
Don't Know	4.6	1.2	3.7	1.2	s	s	s	s	s	s

Table 5.3a. Percent of Students Experiencing Stalking by Type, Gender, Enrollment Status and Characteristics of Stalking^{1, 2} (continued)

Survey Item Response	TGQN (n=76)			
	Undergraduate (n=59)		Graduate or Professional (n=17)	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Percent of Students Reporting Stalking	14.6	5.3	s	s
Unwanted calls, emails, messages, pictures, video on social networking that caused fear for personal safety	5.6	3.0	s	s
Showed up somewhere or waited for student in manner that caused fear for personal safety	11.1	4.6	s	s
Spied on, watched, or followed in manner that caused fear for personal safety	5.7	3.0	s	s
Percent of Victims of Stalking				
<i>Number of incidents since beginning of 2014 term</i>				
0 times	s	s	-	-
1 time	-	-	-	-
2 times	s	s	-	-
3-5 times	37.8	24.1	s	s
6-9 times	-	-	s	s
10 or more times	s	s	-	-
<i>Association with university</i>				
Student	59.3	16.9	s	s
Faculty	-	-	-	-
Coach, religious leader, or other non-academic advisor	-	-	-	-
Other staff or administrator	-	-	-	-
Other person affiliated with a university program (ex. internship, study abroad)	-	-	-	-
The person was not affiliated with university	s	s	-	-
Don't know association with university	40.7	16.9	s	s

Table 5.3a. Percent of Students Experiencing Stalking by Type, Gender, Enrollment Status and Characteristics of Stalking^{1, 2} (continued)

Survey Item Response	TGQN (n=76)			
	Undergraduate (n=59)		Graduate or Professional (n=17)	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
<i>Relationship to victim</i>				
At the time, it was someone I was dating or intimate with	-	-	-	-
Someone I had dated or was intimate with	S	S	-	-
Teacher or advisor	-	-	-	-
Co-worker, boss or supervisor	-	-	-	-
Friend or acquaintance	39.7	22.0	-	-
Stranger	50.4	15.0	-	-
Other	-	-	S	S
Don't Know	S	S	-	-

¹Unless otherwise indicated, estimates are for victimizations reported since entering college.

²TGQN = Transgender woman, Transgender man, Genderqueer, gender non-conforming, questioning, not listed.

Table 5.3b. Percent of Victims of Stalking Reporting to Others, Reporting to a Program, Timing of Report to Program, Usefulness of Report in last school year and Reasons Why Did Not Report to a Program by Gender and Enrollment Status^{1, 2}

Survey Item Response	Total		Female (n=5,273)				Male (n=3,003)			
			Undergraduate (n=4,001)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,272)		Undergraduate (n=2,189)		Graduate or Professional (n=814)	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Reporting to Others										
Friend	78.8	1.9	83.1	2.1	75.9	5.2	68.7	7.6	61.7	12.0
Family member	42.3	2.5	40.9	2.4	50.4	6.0	45.6	8.8	32.2	12.1
Faculty	12.4	1.3	12.8	1.9	19.9	4.3	s	s	15.2	8.3
Someone else	16.4	1.6	16.9	2.0	15.9	3.2	16.7	5.7	s	s
I didn't tell anyone else	12.1	1.7	10.5	1.6	9.6	3.5	19.9	6.8	19.8	9.9

Table 5.3b. Percent of Victims of Stalking Reporting to Others, Reporting to a Program, Timing of Report to Program, Usefulness of Report in last school year and Reasons Why Did Not Report to a Program by Gender and Enrollment Status^{1, 2} (continued)

Survey Item Response	Total		Female (n=5,273)				Male (n=3,003)			
			Undergraduate (n=4,001)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,272)		Undergraduate (n=2,189)		Graduate or Professional (n=814)	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Reporting to a Program										
Contacted at least one program in university list	34.4	2.2	31.5	2.2	36.7	5.6	43.1	8.8	46.3	12.2
Contacted MSU Police	38.3	4.0	43.0	4.6	32.6	9.6	21.9	10.5	50.0	18.5
Most recent contact										
Fall of 2014 - present	63.2	5.4	58.5	7.4	s	s	100	0.0	100	0.0
Fall of 2013 - Summer of 2014	21.9	4.7	26.1	6.5	s	s	-	-	-	-
Fall of 2012 - Summer of 2013	10.0	4.2	12.1	4.9	s	s	-	-	-	-
Prior to Fall of 2012	5.0	2.7	s	s	s	s	-	-	-	-
Usefulness of Report in 2014-2015 School Year										
Not at all	27.8	7.0	23.6	7.8	63.5	18.7	s	s	-	-
A little	15.2	4.5	8.6	3.3	s	s	s	s	s	s
Somewhat	23.5	5.4	27.9	6.7	-	-	-	-	s	s
Very	24.2	5.7	28.1	6.8	s	s	-	-	s	s
Extremely	9.3	3.6	11.7	4.9	s	s	-	-	-	-

Table 5.3b. Percent of Victims of Stalking Reporting to Others, Reporting to a Program, Timing of Report to Program, Usefulness of Report in last school year and Reasons Why Did Not Report to a Program by Gender and Enrollment Status^{1, 2} (continued)

Survey Item Response	Total		Female (n=5,273)				Male (n=3,003)			
			Undergraduate (n=4,001)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,272)		Undergraduate (n=2,189)		Graduate or Professional (n=814)	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Contacted Office for Inclusion (Title IX Coordinator's office)	16.6	2.6	19.4	3.5	15.2	6.3	-	-	41.4	19.1
Most recent contact										
Fall of 2014 - present	63.6	8.7	72.0	11.0	-	-	-	-	s	s
Fall of 2013 - Summer of 2014	20.3	7.5	17.5	8.8	s	s	-	-	s	s
Fall of 2012 - Summer of 2013	9.8	4.9	s	s	s	s	-	-	-	-
Prior to Fall of 2012	s	s	-	-	s	s	-	-	-	-
Usefulness of Report in 2014-2015 School Year										
Not at all	49.2	9.8	52.9	11.6	-	-	-	-	s	s
A little	20.1	8.8	25.1	10.4	s	s	-	-	-	-
Somewhat	s	s	-	-	s	s	-	-	-	-
Very	16.5	8.5	s	s	-	-	-	-	s	s
Extremely	10.6	5.1	s	s	s	s	-	-	-	-

Table 5.3b. Percent of Victims of Stalking Reporting to Others, Reporting to a Program, Timing of Report to Program, Usefulness of Report in last school year and Reasons Why Did Not Report to a Program by Gender and Enrollment Status^{1, 2} (continued)

Survey Item Response	Total		Female (n=5,273)				Male (n=3,003)			
			Undergraduate (n=4,001)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,272)		Undergraduate (n=2,189)		Graduate or Professional (n=814)	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Contacted East Lansing or Other Community Police Agency	17.7	3.5	14.6	3.4	22.3	7.4	32.4	12.4	-	-
Most recent contact										
Fall of 2014 - present	52.8	10.7	48.8	14.8	-	-	85.3	16.8	-	-
Fall of 2013 - Summer of 2014	14.5	6.9	22.8	10.9	s	s	-	-	-	-
Fall of 2012 - Summer of 2013	24.2	7.8	28.4	13.8	s	s	s	s	-	-
Prior to Fall of 2012	8.5	4.9	-	-	49.4	22.2	-	-	-	-
Usefulness of Report in 2014-2015 School Year										
Not at all	32.2	12.2	22.8	10.9	s	s	s	s	-	-
A little	30.0	12.4	20.4	9.8	55.5	21.9	s	s	-	-
Somewhat	14.3	7.0	s	s	s	s	s	s	-	-
Very	s	s	s	s	-	-	-	-	-	-
Extremely	16.7	7.1	29.3	13.0	s	s	-	-	-	-

Table 5.3b. Percent of Victims of Stalking Reporting to Others, Reporting to a Program, Timing of Report to Program, Usefulness of Report in last school year and Reasons Why Did Not Report to a Program by Gender and Enrollment Status^{1, 2} (continued)

Survey Item Response	Total		Female (n=5,273)				Male (n=3,003)			
			Undergraduate (n=4,001)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,272)		Undergraduate (n=2,189)		Graduate or Professional (n=814)	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Contacted MSU Counseling Center	34.7	4.1	38.8	6.1	45.8	9.9	22.5	9.8	s	s
Most recent contact										
Fall of 2014 - present	44.8	7.4	49.2	9.1	s	s	74.1	30.3	s	s
Fall of 2013 - Summer of 2014	33.0	6.3	28.3	6.7	50.2	16.8	s	s	s	s
Fall of 2012 - Summer of 2013	17.5	4.8	20.2	6.4	23.5	12.7	-	-	-	-
Prior to Fall of 2012	4.6	2.4	s	s	s	s	-	-	-	-
Usefulness of Report in 2014-2015 School Year										
Not at all	19.6	5.1	15.9	4.8	s	s	s	s	-	-
A little	29.9	6.5	36.1	7.1	34.0	16.0	-	-	-	-
Somewhat	14.5	4.2	12.8	5.0	33.5	14.4	-	-	-	-
Very	21.5	5.5	19.3	6.3	s	s	s	s	-	-
Extremely	14.5	4.2	15.9	5.7	-	-	-	-	s	s

Table 5.3b. Percent of Victims of Stalking Reporting to Others, Reporting to a Program, Timing of Report to Program, Usefulness of Report in last school year and Reasons Why Did Not Report to a Program by Gender and Enrollment Status^{1, 2} (continued)

Survey Item Response	Total		Female (n=5,273)				Male (n=3,003)			
			Undergraduate (n=4,001)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,272)		Undergraduate (n=2,189)		Graduate or Professional (n=814)	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Contacted SAFE Place	8.2	2.0	8.9	2.6	14.7	6.2	-	-	s	s
Most recent contact										
Fall of 2014 - present	41.9	13.1	56.1	17.2	s	s	-	-	-	-
Fall of 2013 - Summer of 2014	19.1	9.9	s	s	s	s	-	-	-	-
Fall of 2012 - Summer of 2013	33.3	13.2	s	s	s	s	-	-	s	s
Prior to Fall of 2012	s	s	-	-	s	s	-	-	-	-
Usefulness of Report in 2014-2015 School Year										
Not at all	s	s	s	s	s	s	-	-	-	-
A little	s	s	s	s	-	-	-	-	-	-
Somewhat	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Very	31.9	13.0	s	s	s	s	-	-	s	s
Extremely	51.0	13.4	61.4	15.7	s	s	-	-	-	-

Table 5.3b. Percent of Victims of Stalking Reporting to Others, Reporting to a Program, Timing of Report to Program, Usefulness of Report in last school year and Reasons Why Did Not Report to a Program by Gender and Enrollment Status^{1, 2} (continued)

Survey Item Response	Total		Female (n=5,273)				Male (n=3,003)			
			Undergraduate (n=4,001)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,272)		Undergraduate (n=2,189)		Graduate or Professional (n=814)	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Contacted MSU Sexual Assault Program or 24/7 MSU Sexual Assault Hotline	9.9	2.2	15.9	3.5	s	s	-	-	-	-
Most recent contact										
Fall of 2014 - present	65.9	11.5	70.0	11.9	-	-	-	-	-	-
Fall of 2013 - Summer of 2014	22.9	11.2	24.3	11.7	-	-	-	-	-	-
Fall of 2012 - Summer of 2013	s	s	s	s	s	s	-	-	-	-
Prior to Fall of 2012	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Usefulness of Report in 2014-2015 School Year										
Not at all	s	s	s	s	-	-	-	-	-	-
A little	s	s	s	s	-	-	-	-	-	-
Somewhat	19.8	10.1	s	s	s	s	-	-	-	-
Very	32.1	12.2	34.3	13.1	-	-	-	-	-	-
Extremely	25.4	9.1	27.1	9.6	-	-	-	-	-	-

Table 5.3b. Percent of Victims of Stalking Reporting to Others, Reporting to a Program, Timing of Report to Program, Usefulness of Report in last school year and Reasons Why Did Not Report to a Program by Gender and Enrollment Status^{1, 2} (continued)

Survey Item Response	Total		Female (n=5,273)				Male (n=3,003)			
			Undergraduate (n=4,001)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,272)		Undergraduate (n=2,189)		Graduate or Professional (n=814)	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Contacted Residence Education and Housing Services	19.2	3.0	17.2	3.8	25.7	8.6	21.4	10.1	s	s
Most recent contact										
Fall of 2014 - present	47.7	9.4	35.8	12.0	-	-	100	0.0	s	s
Fall of 2013 - Summer of 2014	23.0	6.9	32.0	12.4	s	s	-	-	-	-
Fall of 2012 - Summer of 2013	17.4	6.4	19.7	10.2	s	s	-	-	-	-
Prior to Fall of 2012	11.9	5.6	s	s	s	s	-	-	-	-
Usefulness of Report in 2014-2015 School Year										
Not at all	s	s	s	s	-	-	-	-	-	-
A little	9.1	4.7	s	s	s	s	-	-	-	-
Somewhat	43.3	8.3	25.0	10.4	54.7	22.4	s	s	s	s
Very	24.5	8.8	25.7	11.4	s	s	s	s	-	-
Extremely	16.2	8.1	24.9	12.1	s	s	-	-	-	-

Table 5.3b. Percent of Victims of Stalking Reporting to Others, Reporting to a Program, Timing of Report to Program, Usefulness of Report in last school year and Reasons Why Did Not Report to a Program by Gender and Enrollment Status^{1, 2} (continued)

Survey Item Response	Total		Female (n=5,273)				Male (n=3,003)			
			Undergraduate (n=4,001)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,272)		Undergraduate (n=2,189)		Graduate or Professional (n=814)	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Contacted MSU Women’s Resource Center	3.4	1.3	5.8	2.1	-	-	-	-	-	-
Most recent contact										
Fall of 2014 - present	58.4	21.5	58.4	21.5	-	-	-	-	-	-
Fall of 2013 - Summer of 2014	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Fall of 2012 - Summer of 2013	29.3	19.2	s	s	-	-	-	-	-	-
Prior to Fall of 2012	12.3	12.5	s	s	-	-	-	-	-	-
Usefulness of Report in 2014-2015 School Year										
Not at all	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
A little	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Somewhat	13.6	13.0	s	s	-	-	-	-	-	-
Very	35.5	22.0	s	s	-	-	-	-	-	-
Extremely	50.9	22.7	50.9	22.7	-	-	-	-	-	-

Table 5.3b. Percent of Victims of Stalking Reporting to Others, Reporting to a Program, Timing of Report to Program, Usefulness of Report in last school year and Reasons Why Did Not Report to a Program by Gender and Enrollment Status^{1, 2} (continued)

Survey Item Response	Total		Female (n=5,273)				Male (n=3,003)			
			Undergraduate (n=4,001)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,272)		Undergraduate (n=2,189)		Graduate or Professional (n=814)	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Did not contact any programs										
Reason										
Did not know where to go or who to tell	13.9	2.1	15.1	2.6	15.0	5.4	12.3	6.7	-	-
Felt embarrassed, ashamed, or that it would be too emotionally difficult	11.1	2.0	14.5	2.5	s	s	s	s	-	-
I did not think anyone would believe me	11.4	2.0	10.8	2.6	9.5	3.6	s	s	s	s
I did not think it was serious enough to report	55.8	2.8	60.6	3.4	46.8	7.2	51.1	10.0	45.0	18.6
I did not want the person to get into trouble	11.2	1.6	12.4	1.9	8.9	4.8	s	s	-	-
I feared negative social consequences	16.2	2.0	13.4	2.1	15.9	4.6	24.5	10.9	s	s
I did not think anything would be done	37.6	2.3	32.8	3.1	38.6	6.7	55.8	10.9	32.3	17.1
I feared it would not be kept confidential	12.6	1.7	8.0	2.0	19.9	5.0	21.8	10.0	s	s
Incident was not on campus or associated with the school	19.5	2.3	16.7	2.6	24.8	6.0	20.3	9.3	36.8	18.3
Incident did not occur while attending school	1.6	0.7	1.4	0.7	s	s	-	-	s	s
Other	18.6	2.4	17.8	2.5	14.9	5.2	32.2	10.5	s	s

¹ Per 100 victims.

² Unless otherwise specified, estimates are for victimizations reported since entering college.

Table 5.4. Percent of Students Experiencing Harassment, Intimate Partner Violence or Stalking by Type of Incident, Gender and Enrollment Status of Victim^{1, 2}

Survey Item Response	Total		Female (5,273)				Male (3,003)			
			Undergraduate (4,001)		Graduate or Professional (1,272)		Undergraduate (2,189)		Graduate or Professional (814)	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Harassment										
<i>Sexual orientation</i>										
Heterosexual	47.7	0.5	60.0	0.8	44.5	1.2	40.9	1.0	28.7	1.5
Non-Heterosexual	68.1	1.7	77.0	2.5	64.8	4.8	60.4	4.1	47.3	6.3
<i>Ethnicity</i>										
Hispanic	52.0	2.9	64.8	3.5	52.5	6.3	49.9	5.7	25.3	5.1
Not Hispanic	49.3	0.5	61.5	0.7	45.8	1.2	41.9	1.0	30.4	1.5
<i>Race</i>										
American Indian or Alaska Native	59.0	3.7	56.9	6.0	52.9	9.8	70.6	8.4	38.3	14.2
Asian	31.5	1.4	39.7	2.5	31.5	2.4	29.7	2.5	20.4	2.5
Black or African American	51.7	2.3	53.8	2.5	45.2	5.5	58.4	5.0	26.6	5.9
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	56.5	8.1	66.7	9.7	s	s	63.7	19.1	s	s
White	53.7	0.6	66.2	0.8	51.1	1.5	43.8	0.9	37.4	1.9
Intimate partner violence										
<i>Sexual orientation</i>										
Heterosexual	10.5	0.4	12.9	0.6	8.1	0.8	9.6	0.7	6.4	0.9
Non-Heterosexual	20.8	1.6	25.4	2.6	21.4	4.7	17.1	3.1	8.1	3.9
<i>Ethnicity</i>										
Hispanic	12.0	1.7	15.9	2.8	11.6	4.1	9.5	3.4	5.9	3.0
Not Hispanic	11.4	0.4	14.1	0.6	9.1	0.9	10.2	0.7	6.7	0.9
<i>Race</i>										
American Indian or Alaska Native	12.8	2.7	20.8	5.2	s	s	s	s	s	s
Asian	9.7	1.2	14.9	2.1	6.9	2.0	10.4	2.7	3.2	1.4
Black or African American	13.1	1.8	19.0	2.7	7.9	3.1	6.9	3.0	7.2	3.9
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	s	s	s	s	-	-	-	-	-	-
White	11.6	0.4	13.4	0.6	9.7	1.0	10.5	0.7	8.0	1.0

Table 5.4. Percent of Students Experiencing Harassment, Intimate Partner Violence or Stalking by Type of Incident, Gender and Enrollment Status of Victim^{1, 2} (continued)

Survey Item Response	Total		Female (5,273)				Male (3,003)			
			Undergraduate (4,001)		Graduate or Professional (1,272)		Undergraduate (2,189)		Graduate or Professional (814)	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Stalking										
<i>Sexual orientation</i>										
Heterosexual	4.2	0.2	7.4	0.4	4.7	0.6	1.3	0.3	2.0	0.5
Non-Heterosexual	10.8	1.2	11.1	1.5	15.4	3.6	12.1	2.6	s	s
<i>Ethnicity</i>										
Hispanic	5.6	1.2	9.6	1.9	s	s	4.1	2.1	-	-
Not Hispanic	4.9	0.2	7.7	0.4	5.9	0.6	2.0	0.3	2.5	0.5
<i>Race</i>										
American Indian or Alaska Native	6.8	1.8	8.5	2.7	s	s	s	s	-	-
Asian	4.8	0.7	8.0	1.4	4.2	1.2	3.0	1.2	3.3	1.3
Black or African American	6.1	1.0	7.1	1.5	5.8	2.6	4.8	1.9	s	s
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	11.9	5.5	s	s	-	-	s	s	-	-
White	4.8	0.3	7.7	0.5	6.2	0.7	1.7	0.3	2.2	0.6

¹Per 100 students.

²Estimates are for victimizations reported since entering college.